



ASUCD SENATE MINUTES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
6:10 PM, MEE Room
January 24th, 2019

I. Call to Order

- Vice President Branson called the meeting to order at 6:10 PM.

II. Quorum Roll Call

Roll Call				
	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Michael Gofman		1	
	VP Shaniah Branson	1		
	Controller Meital Machulsky			1
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
	Maya Barak			1
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Simran Kaur	1		
	Alisha Hacker	1		
	Atanas Spasov	1		
	Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Ko Ser Lu Htoo		1	
	Brandon Clemons		1	
Total		9	2	1
Commission Chairs	AAC - Justin Hurst	1		
	GASC - Joelle Judeh	1		
	BNF - Andre Spinoglio	1		
	IAC - Jacob Ganz			1
	EAC - Nayzak Wali-Ali	1		
	ECAC - Rina Singh	1		

	EPPC - Alice Beittel		1
--	----------------------	--	---

- *With 9 senators present out of 12 senators seated, quorum was established.*

III. Interim Senator Confirmation

- *Mohammad Qayum*
- *Gofman's recommendation: Of all of the candidates that applied he understood the issues ASUCD is going through more than any other as well as more experience. His work in OASR has allowed him to establish connections on and off campus and I urge a speedy resolution.*
- *Qayum: Senator Ko brought up a great deal of professionalism and I hope to bring that same professionalism and manner. I applied because I've been in this association for quite a bit. One year ago I attended my first Senate meeting. I know there's a lot of good that can be done.*
- *Questions*
 - *Spasov: What ideas do you have in the regard of transfers and veterans?*
 - *Qayum: I put that in there to get the committee running. I want to work with this community and the best thing we can do is get the right voices on there. We have to make sure a variety of transfer voices are heard so we can get their concerns heard as well as veterans. That's how you build that stronger connection. When I ran that's what I wanted to do: to get that community up and running.*
 - *Zapardiel: With recent issues in relations between law enforcement and minorities how can you better that relationship?*
 - *Qayum: A lot of concerns that have been placed aren't invalid. I think that one of the big things is to get out there and try and have... what I've done is I met with Chief Farrow on 2 occasions where he asked me to come up with this committee he used to have. This committee would have issues run by them and it was made up of minority students. He wants that back. Today I met with Sherry Atkinson who helped him come up with that committee and we're going to see if we can bring that up again. Next thing is I met with Davis police lieutenant Paul. Part of their strategic goal is to better their relationship with the student community and I brought up a similar committee. I'm waiting for word on that. We need to have them held accountable but we also need to make sure our voices are heard not just those 50+.*
 - *Hurst: Can you comment on your experience in ASUCD? What were some concrete actions you have done?*
 - *Qayum: I worked for OASR and loved it. I loved my boss Edgar. My experience with ASUCD is that it's a pretty special place where students can come and develop themselves as well as take on the role of serving a variety of communities. I'm going to try and do a better job all the time to represent and hear different voices. In OASR I got Lobby Corps on. I'm still planning on working with OASR on that. That's probably the highlight as that's one of the big things UC Davis needed. We had 2 fridge distribution days that I helped run. Those weren't fun but those were pretty big events for ASUCD because that's where a good chunk of money comes from. I also wrote the resolution people are pretty excited to see later.*
 - *Maraiyesa: Can you talk about your connections through OASR? As you know many students aren't fond of law enforcement. How would you appeal to students who aren't comfortable approaching them to better this relationship?*

- *Best of town and gown relationship*
- *1 in 3 undergraduates live off campus use Unitrans for daily commute*
 - *90% of daily customers are undergraduates*
- *4 million annual customers*
 - *100% of all undergraduate housing concentrations within 5 minute walk of Unitrans bus stop*
- *2019 Ridership to Date*
 - *Almost 1 million transported to date*
 - *Down 12% year over year*
 - *Major factor: 7 day campus closure due to Camp Fire*
 - *Other contributing factors: minor service reduction in effect on D, G, J, W, and V lines as well as fare/pass price increases*
- *Fleet*
 - *Replacement*
 - *1 new bus; 1 more in early February*
 - *2 cutaways to arrive in March*
 - *Start contracting for 5 additional CNG single decks*
 - *Fueling station rehabilitation construction almost completed*
 - *Electric bus program*
 - *Conceptual engineering and cost estimates completed*
- *Community*
 - *Local food drive with the Co-op resulted in an estimated \$3,000 in food to the UC Davis Pantry*
 - *\$1,000 donation from the Co-op*
 - *Unitrans kept Davis moving during wildfires*
 - *Unitrans kept operating during shooting on January 10 to get customers to shelter*
- *Budget for FY2019*
 - *Expenses 3% lower year to date than FY2018*
 - *At 46% of budget expenses for year*
 - *Almost all labor and expense categories are at or lower than levels in FY2018*
 - *Project \$475,000 deficit*
- *Funding Sources and Budget*
 - *\$6 million in annual operating costs and rising*
 - *\$475,000 deficit this year*
 - *45% of expenditures goes to student wages and the rest to all other expenses (fuel, bus parts, other salaries)*
 - *All student fees go back to student wages*
- *Unitrans Support Fees*
 - *Currently \$34.50 per quarter*
 - *Undergrad only*
 - *4 referenda over 27 years*
 - *Last referendum in 2008*
- *Operating Budget Deficit*
 - *Projected to be \$1,016,000 in FY2020*
 - *Deficit due to several reasons*
 - *Minimum wage increasing*
 - *Changes in federal regulations for training*
 - *Career staff must be hired to do training instead of students*
 - *Purchasing power has eroded over thee last decade due to inflation*
- *Things Done in Reaction*

- *More advertising and likely to expand including:*
 - *More exterior ads and wraps*
 - *Naming rights consideration*
- *Entered into a new fuel contract to reduce costs by >30%*
- *Minor reductions in service hours and closer tracking of hours and overtime*
- *University audit and task force formed with City and University*
- *Pursuing a graduate student fee referendum*
- *Shifted capital money to operations*
- *Proposed Fee*
 - *\$13.33 additional fee in year one to total to \$47.83 quarterly fee*
 - *Will pay for:*
 - *Maintaining current service levels and fare-free boardings for undergraduates in light of minimum wage increase*
 - *Expand capacity trippers during peak times to reduce pass-ups*
 - *Hire career training staff to prepare for 2020 Federal regulation changes and increase driver numbers*
 - *Hire a career mechanic to reduce on-street breakdowns and delays*
 - *Maintain modest reserve*
- *Proposed Fee with Graduate Students*
 - *\$8.00 additional fee in year one to total to \$42.50 quarterly fee*
 - *Same scenario + graduate students*
 - *Lower upfront fee but faster ramp up*
 - *In FY2023 fee is \$64.50 compared to \$72 in undergraduate only scenario*
- *Consequences and Scenarios*
 - *If approved by Senate, Unitrans will start an education campaign per the ASUCD bylaws*
 - *If the referendum passes start hiring staff to prepare for Fall 2019*
 - *If referendum fails*
 - *Preparing 15-20% reductions for 2019-2020 academic year and 10-15% for 2020-2021*
 - *Subject to a public review and approval process*
 - *Continue to seek alternative funding through the University*
 - *Engage city on providing additional funding for service*
 - *Continue implementing minor revenue measures such as increasing cash fare, pass prices, and advertising*
- *Questions*
 - *Hacker: Can you expand more on what if the referendum didn't pass? What would the long term effects be? Why can't we go to other sources for funding?*
 - *Flynn: Long term since costs go up every year I say it'll be the Unitrans death kneel. We'll have major cuts the first two years and then smaller cuts the years after. We are preparing for layoffs and service cuts. We had a task force to try to find more funding. The city tried to pass a tax last year that failed. We're not confident that we'll get a large funding source or donation to support Unitrans.*
 - *Deshpande: If the graduate students do pass will they also ride fare free? Can you expand a little on the electric bus program? As a rider I've been on the English buses which have broken down a lot. Will that be more cost effective to remove them or replace them?*
 - *Flynn: Yes. There's a lot of electric bus manufacturers so we'd buying from one of those and putting it into service. We'd have to rip up our busyard to*

prepare for chargers. The conceptual engineering is done and we're going to move into real engineering in the coming months. The English buses are very old from around the 1940s. They're very iconic. You can see there are less as 2 need major rehabilitation work. I think over the next couple of years we'll find out the right place for them as they are very old.

- Qayum: Have there been discussion about getting the university to fund Unitrans? Hypothetically if Governor Newsom's budget goes through there will be more for the UCs. Is that part of the negotiation to help bridge that funding?
 - Flynn: President Gofman is working with the vice chancellor and chancellor on that. It's up to that group to come up with the recommendations to go to the chancellor for an approval in March. Newsom's budget hasn't been brought up yet as it's new but they will be talking about that next week.
- Ryan Gardiner: With your experience can you talk about what the administration's attitude to Unitrans? Can you try to expand a little more on funding? If those negotiations are ongoing why are we pushing a student fee when we can have university funding?
 - Flynn: The university understands the role of Unitrans for the university. From my point of view I can't tell what the upper crust thinks. Right now the university hasn't offered up money. We don't know yet where that all is going to land. The university taskforce has agreed to push the fee referendum first. I think this is a double-edged sword. If there's no 20% turnout or no vote I can see a situation where they say if the students won't support it why should we? In my role I'm in a no win right now. My job is to have Unitrans to run and get you to school.
- Member from public: Can you elaborate on what efforts Unitrans has done to get more student riders? Has there been attempts to get a unified metro card? If we got the referendum passed would it be possible to expand the system outside of the city like in Woodland?
 - Flynn: Right now we have so few cash and pass payers that we didn't try to implement them as the systems are so expensive. We partner very closely with the Davis Unified School District and do a lot of senior outreach. It's very hard to get a community member who's upper middle class and has a garage and who can park for free downtown for dinner to pay for something like that. Keep in mind the city pays about half of what Unitrans gets. The city may not think of itself as it being their system but when it comes to their wallet they really do. The university is going through a big management demand process right now. As the housing stock here gets more expensive it's pushing people out to neighboring communities. It is being considered and independent funding would have to be considered.
- Maraiyesa: Can you say what the taskforce is called and who's on it? What is the slightly above minimum wage students are being paid right now? A suggestion is to put outlets on the bus.
 - Flynn: It's called the Unitrans Financial Task Force. It's made up of 15 people including President Gofman, a Senate rep which has an opening, the city CFOs, a representative from long term planning, associate vice Chancellor Sherry Atkinson, Cory Vu, etc. It's a long list of bureaucrats. We pay 15% about minimum wage is \$12 right now. We currently pay \$13.75 an hour.
- Ramirez: Let's say hypothetically 5 years down the line minimum wage is raised again. Would the increase in fees be entered in?

- *Chen: If we don't pass this wouldn't it give more pressure to other people about giving Unitrans funding?*
 - *Flynn: I'm a staff member but I can't speak for everybody. It would increase pressure. However, if the students didn't support it why would they since students are 90% of the customers? It's a dicey game to play. What will be considered later tonight is to place the referendum on the ballot. ASUCD wouldn't be taking a position; they'd be offering it to the undergraduates as an option. If 20% show up and vote and 60% say yes then they'll say we'll pay this much extra to support Unitrans. If not it'd go moot and it'd lead to service cuts and shifts to find more funding.*

V. Office of Advocacy and Student Representation (OASR) Quarterly Report

•

VI. External Affairs Commission (EAC) Member Confirmations

- *Aria Alex Ghafouri: I'm a Political Science major. I wanted to join because I'm a transfer student and I wanted to have more of a voice. I feel this is a great step to become a better and stronger voice as well as to move onto law school.*
- *Shelby Salyer: I decided to join EAC because I wanted to work with external things outside of UC Davis that affect UC Davis students. I wanted to focus on mental health.*
- *Natalie Gutierrez: I really cherish the friendships I've made here as I've been in EAC before. I am really looking forward to it.*
- *Questions*
 - *Hurst: What organizations do you plan on reaching out to?*
 - *Ghafouri: I'm a part of Phi Sigma Pi. I believe that bringing in that involvement as ASUCD would be something that fraternity would want to be involved in.*
 - *Salyer: They don't quite have a solidified organization but the Queer on Davis.*
 - *Gutierrez: Any new senator with platforms.*
 - *Pearl: Are there any specific projects you want to start working on?*
 - *Gutierrez: I'm looking forward to catching up.*
 - *Salyer: I'm looking forward to working on renters' rights workshops for students and housing discrimination.*
 - *Ghafouri: Working on Unitrans and the Jump bikes as well as other means of transportation.*
 - *Hacker: What is one of the most pressing issues facing the Davis student community? How can EAC help? What else are you all involved in on campus?*
 - *Salyer: As mentioned before the top of my list is mental health. I'm thinking that EAC can reach out to the community and work with maybe Sutter to get Davis students more access to therapists and mental health services. On campus I'm involved in Band-Uh. I also want to bring up on sexual harassment and education on hazing as well as safe alcohol and drug use.*
 - *Gutierrez: The lack of affordable student housing. We want to make sure that we hammer the point that they can't take advantage of us. I'm also in Academic Senate.*
 - *Ghafouri: A safer and more reliable transportation service at night. I'm also in the pre-law association and the model United Nations. I also want to bring up Title IX on council.*
 - *Ramirez: Has there been a decision made by administration or ASUCD that you disagree with?*

- Ghafouri: No as I've only been here for one quarter.
 - Gutierrez: Pass.
 - Salyer: I'd say not with anything officially decided by ASUCD but perhaps with certain members.
- Barak: What exactly can you bring from your resume into EAC?
 - Ghafouri: I used to work at Chase Bank. Through that job I learned a lot of problem solving and analyzing. That's something I'd like to look at and use my expertise from banking to help.
 - Salyer: 2 of my internships that I can definitely use to help me on EAC is the current internship at the Davis Vanguard and my past one with the Democratic Party of Sacramento. I definitely think that's something I can bring to the committee. With the Democratic Party I'd like to figure out how to deal with sexual harassment and issues in the office to make spaces safer.
 - Gutierrez: I used to be staff of the Executive Office last year so I'd bring any info I learned from last year such as how bills work.
- Qayum: I motion to confirm the candidates.
 - **Without objections the candidates were confirmed.**

VII. Environmental Policy and Planning Commission (EPPC) Member Confirmations

- Beittel: This is Natalie. She's going to be our 10th member. She's been doing great work and getting to know the other commissioners. She's great at organizing
- Natalie Kiyasu
- Questions
 - Ramirez: Have you seen any environmental issues on campus?
 - Kiyasu: One thing I've noticed is the composting program. We have a great one but honestly there isn't a consistent composting bin. We do really well with sustainable takeaway containers but they aren't helpful if you can't find a suitable location to dispose of them. It'd be an easy fix and would make a huge difference.
 - Kumar: What's your prior experience in environmental issues?
 - Kiyasu: I interned with Sustainable Water Solutions to create sustainable ways for cooling towers. I'm also an Environmental Policy major. Over the summer I tried to implement that with UC Davis on cooling towers.
 - Qayum: I want EPPC to get involved in lobbying. Do you have any experience lobbying and if not is it something you're interested in doing?
 - Kiyasu: I'm pretty up to date on politics despite not having much experience in lobbying. I'd definitely be interested.
 - Hurst: How would you define sustainability? How can the campus be more sustainable?
 - Kiyasu: It's being conscious about the environment and how your daily practices have an impact. A major issue is actually taking the time and being aware of how your actions affect your community and environment. Single-use plastic is a big issue. Some campuses have a program working to ban single-use plastic water bottles on campus. A lot of people have Hydroflasks.
 - Ganz: I motion to confirm.
 - **Without objections the candidate was confirmed.**
 - **10 minute break held at 7:44 PM. Meeting resumed at 7:57 PM.**
 -

Roll Call

	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Michael Gofman		1	
	VP Shaniah Branson	1		
	Controller Meital Machulsky	1		
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
	Maya Barak	1		
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Simran Kaur	1		
	Alisha Hacker	1		
	Atanas Spasov	1		
	Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Mohammad Qayum	1		
	Brandon Clemons		1	
	Total		11	1
Commission Chairs	AAC - Justin Hurst	1		
	GASC - Joelle Judeh	1		
	BNF - Andre Spinoglio	1		
	IAC - Jacob Ganz	1		
	EAC - Nayzak Wali-Ali	1		
	ECAC - Rina Singh	1		
	EPPC - Alice Beittel	1		

o

VIII. Unit/Committee Adoption

•

IX. Consideration of Old Legislation

- **ASUCD Resolution #8**

o

Seeing SR #8				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		

Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
Maya Barak	1		
Sean Kumar	1		
Simran Kaur	1		
Alisha Hacker	1		
Atanas Spasov	1		
Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
Ricky Zapardiel	1		
Noah Pearl	1		
Mohammad Qayum	1		
Brandon Clemons			1
Total	11	0	1

- *Qayum: This thanks Natalie Corona for her service and the departments she served in to protect us.*
- *Public Discussion*
 - *Judeh: This version is a lot better than the last version. I do appreciate the fact that you all worked on this quite a bit. I just have a problem with lines 60-62 as it speaks for ASUCD as a whole. Members and people from the public have made it clear they do not have a bond with policing.*
 - *Member from public (Aaron): Something that has been mentioned time and time again is what is this resolution doing. How is this benefiting students? Frankly the writers of this haven't answered this at all. This could easily be done with a statement on Facebook which has already been done multiple times. You have personally seen me speak against this resolution 3 times. My point is if you really do care about saving Unitrans and other programs if you delegitimize this association you're shooting yourself in the foot. Just release a statement; it's really that easy.*
 - *Qayum: Thank you sir. I have stated time and time again and I have answered the question: it's to honor a fallen officer of the Davis police department. They haven't lost an officer since 1959. It's part of the actual job as an ASUCD senator. It just means we have to sit in our meetings a little bit longer. I'm glad this resolution brought people out. It's more powerful than a statement and puts the whole ASUCD behind it. The California Senate, House of Representatives, other governing bodies pass resolutions. Resolutions are powerful statements of unity. That's why this resolution is up and no sir, I will not let it die.*
 - *Member from public (Aaron): I'm glad you brought up the resolution of TPS which brings actual benefits to people. If you're passing this you're not doing something that provides some kind of needed benefit. The police department has seen vast amounts of support from around the nation. At the end of the day the cons outweigh the pros. That work will cease if it passes.*
 - *Singh: I want to encourage everyone at this Table that we are here to represent the interests of students and to be the voice of student activism. If*

the authors intend to move forward with this resolution I ask to add a clause that there is institutional racism and police brutality.

- *Qayum: I ask the Table and ex-officio members to know what this means. I can't speak to how the police officers feel about the student community. I've had meetings with them but haven't brought it up. Natalie Corona was 22 years old and was brutally killed by a white male for absolutely no reason. We can do one good thing: pass this resolution and show it to her family that we alongside everyone are with them and grieve with them.*
- *Blu Buchanan: I wanted to speak to a number of issues that have been coming up. In particular I do appreciate the changes that have been made to the resolution. Yet at the very end we move from Natalie Corona herself. I don't think it necessitates a statement saying that you all support the police. As the authors said this is a contentious topic and there are community ties that have yet to be repaired or even taken into consideration. How can the ASUCD Senate say there is consensus that the police supposedly support us when that doesn't seem to be the case. I wanted to bring up a case: the Picnic Day Five in which the Davis police choked out a black woman on the streets. This shows that we as usual are being drawn into a resolution that maintains the status quo. I think a resolution supporting Natalie Corona is fine but I think we need at least to turn to experts when it comes to things about the police. Do police promote racism? They do; their practices are deeply rooted in anti-blackness.*
- *Ramirez: As mentioned earlier the Constitution says that ASUCD can give resolutions to express opinions through ASUCD to show ASUCD is honoring the service of Natalie Corona.*
- *Buchanan: I wanted to say as a PHD student I've lived in the community for a number of years. I wanted to ask for clarification from those writing this; I've had to write a prison divestment resolution with ASUCD that had to go through a number of commissions. Why did this skip the steps and go right to Senate?*
 - *Qayum: There's also a process of introducing emergency legislation. Each senator is allowed to do one per quarter. I requested this be emergency legislation because I wanted to give enough time for members of the community to express their concerns. If I submitted this Tuesday I wouldn't have been able to hear more people out. I also didn't want the bill to wait another week; I wanted something to honor Officer Corona as soon as possible. The process as you saw was 2/3 of the Table and I got everyone to pass it.*
 - *Buchanan: My question in terms of the emergency nature is that they change the process. It's interesting how you said you wanted feedback from the community but that there was less time for them to give feedback. That seems contradictory to me. I understand this is a memorial resolution; there would be less time limits as opposed to things that directly affect students on campus.*
- *Wali-Ali: I want to echo past remarks; I think the beginning is completely fine. It's a nice way of personalizing Officer Corona. However encompassing all of ASUCD in this statement is almost offensive to those who came out.*
- *Member from the public (Aaron): Where are the actual benefits going to the student community? That doesn't create true actual benefits for the student body. This argumentation has not been refuted by any senator here on this Table. This will delegitimize the Association.*

- *Chen: People are worried about whether they're involved with the police. Can you just mention Officer Corona? That would make the process a lot faster. Let's say if this tragedy happens again; would you write it differently?*
 - *Qayum: I am not amenable to remove them; it'd have to go to a vote. I personally truly believe that the best way forward in making relations better is to foster that relationship. If it happened to a UC Davis police officer I'd write it similarly.*
- *Member from the public: I just wanted to point out that this resolution doesn't need to be a resolution. I feel like it was just made to divide people and make them mad. Are you all trying to be the Trump of Davis? Secondly I don't think you all are trying to honor just Natalie Corona. I feel like you're trying to use her death. Let's say she was the best most kind police officer the nation has ever seen. That doesn't diminish the fact that police as an institution are terrorists. I feel like you making this resolution just wants to create distrust among all students that police are terrorizing. I ask you to reconsider. You can honor Natalie Corona but you don't have to honor police as a state.*
 - *Member from public (Tiana): I feel like with the writing of this resolution you've really pushed students of color to one side. I think it's safe to say that students of color that have problems with the institution of police do not like this resolution. You're not getting it; you're supposed to be with us. You all obviously don't care. I don't understand. We're trying to say that from line 55 down you're all speaking for the entire UC Davis population of students that we love police which we don't. I don't understand what you're not getting. We're giving you our point of view.*
 - *Buchanan: I've had people tell me that I should be left to bleed out on the streets and have been asked if I stand or sit down to pee. People have messaged me saying you'd look good picking cotton. This resolution's relation to those people and those people would see as a victory for them. We don't have any resolutions for that. We don't have any resolutions for those getting death threats and transphobia. There's no emergency legislation to that. That shows a difference on how we value people of color and police as an institution.*
 - *Member from the public (Tiana): A lot of y'all condemned other people on the Table for writing a statement without getting other people's points of view. This is the same thing; we're giving you our point of view and you're not doing anything about it. How does that sit well with you?*
- *Maraiyesa: I'm going to make a motion to divide the house on removing lines 55-62.*
 - *Qayum: We're not always going to meet in the middle. A lot of people asked for certain lines to be removed; we did that. There were some things that I wasn't negotiating away. Let's just get to the vote.*

Dividing the House on Removing Lines 55-62 from SR #8				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Senators	Alexis Ramirez		1	
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		

	Maya Barak	1		
	Sean Kumar		1	
	Simran Kaur	1		
	Alisha Hacker		1	
	Atanas Spasov	1		
	Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Mohammad Qayum		1	
	Brandon Clemons			1
	Total	6	5	1

- *Maraiyesa: I motion to remove lines 55-62.*

■

Removing Lines 55-62 from SR #8				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Senators	Alexis Ramirez		1	
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
	Maya Barak	1		
	Sean Kumar		1	
	Simran Kaur	1		
	Alisha Hacker		1	
	Atanas Spasov	1		
	Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Mohammad Qayum		1	
	Brandon Clemons			1
	Total	6	5	1

- *Maraiyesa: Have you reached out to her family? How do you think the family feels about how we're talking about their daughter instead off letting her rest in peace?*
 - *Qayum: No. I certainly hope the family is doing well right now; I pray for them to have patience in this time. I didn't contact them as I didn't know how. There was well over 6,000 people at the memorial. Let us mention that the governor of California was there and that the father wore a thin blue line tie. I hope that when the family does receive this that they are appreciative of it and we do mourn Natalie Corona and remember her name.*

- *Maraiyesa: I think you kind of missed the point I said. Honestly if you can see the ramifications of even having it as emergency legislation; it could have waited and seen a commission. Emergency resolution would not have made it quicker.*
- *Buchanan: I wanted to address the fact that 4 black men have been killed by the same people who are investigating the death of Natalie Corona. We seem very concerned on remembering her name but it seems again that there is an issue of memory. Black people don't get remembered and that people killed by police don't get remembered. I want to again address that this is an issue around anti-blackness. I was asked by a senator here if Davis police have killed a person of color and I don't know because we don't record those things. I also want to address briefly the Blue Lives Matter rhetoric as it seems to have come up here again. It was a reactionary response to Black Lives Matter. The thin blue line was made in 1959 by a deeply racist LAPD chief. That chaos and criminality has always included black people in particular. This is important history that I feel that we're not taking into account when we're doing this debate.*
- *Member from public (Tiana): I can tell some of you all look upset or feel disgusted. Maybe some of you don't know the history of Black Lives Matter. We're trying to teach you all and it's not penetrating. Now that we're in the audience we're going to keep bringing it up. We're going to be here every Senate meeting telling you how we feel. I apologize for cursing but you all don't get why we're upset. It's not even about the officer who died; it's about how you all are using her death to get what you all want but blame it on us. If you all just listened to us this wouldn't be that hard right now.*
- *Hurst: Word choice really matters. Not just in terms of making resolutions but also what's being said. The family line was really ingenuous. Different people experience grief differently. Some people just want to be left alone.*
- *Wali-Ali: Bridging the gap between the community and the police can't be rushed. It's a noble intention; this has been worked on by activists for many years now. The community is trying and doing this you've seen the ramifications. I think the point is is if you're really true about your intentions let's see your work in the year. It takes time and it can't be rushed.*
 - *Qayum: It's a process that can't be rushed but can be started which is what I'm trying to do. The Senate has made up its mind. The resolution is literally all about Natalie Corona now. You are right; I look forward to work with every single one of you.*
- *Member from the public: I just want to press on a conversation I had with Ricky and expand it. Let's be real clear; this is why there are different levels of government. Each navigate different systems. I can understand this coming from the city; I don't understand why this must come from an academic institution. You have clearly held your ground about this resolution and using that rhetoric specifically. That's the rhetoric that people of color face. How does this resolution benefit me as a student and anyone sitting here? My conversation with Ricky which I had is that if you're going to expedite out of an emergency some kind of way to push an agenda is an attack to me, Black Lives Matter, the black people sitting in this room whose existence matters just as much as Officer Corona. We're not negating her life or humanity; that's why we're sitting here. We're sitting here because this resolution is problematic. Removing 6 sentences doesn't make it less*

problematic. As the person you're supposed to represent you're not representing me. I don't want to have to read about Officer Corona and her biography; if I did I would read the newspaper and go to her family. You have been adamant to the very last end that you are not willing to let this go. The adamancy is not in your willingness to remember the officer; you've made your case very clear when we keep telling you we do not want this resolution and you say you're going to keep it. In that case I'd ask you to adjust your status, privilege, and yourself to serve us and not yourself. Clearly the last conversation we had that face has been stuck and glued on your faces. Every time that smirk comes up and it's called arrogance. It's also called entitlement. It's also called patriarchy. You should look that up. I will ask you again there really is no reason to have this resolution. You can honor her. Most of us will probably get behind you in writing a letter acknowledging this person who died. She was also a person of color. Yet another person of color died by the hands of a white person and the institution didn't save her. There is an intentionality you're talking about. It's not just a piece of paper where you're honoring someone's life when it's tied to an institution that for literally centuries has vilified, criminalized, and killed people of color by masses. Grab all of this and that stupid legal jargon that regular people don't understand and colonizer language and write a letter to the family. Let them know as a community we stand with them. You don't have to victimize people doing so. Honoring one life shouldn't come as a negation of someone else's. We're here because we understand a life was lost. And that should be it.

- *Zapardiel: I understand and I hear you for sure. It's just not proper representation of the whole student body. I represent the whole student body. I've had conversations with peers of mine in different organizations and varying education levels; they've expressed interest in this type of resolution.*
 - *Member of the public: Why not release a petition? Why don't you ask the students to actually sign it? That's how you can get a real indicator of the community signing this resolution? Are you listening to your little homies or the people here at 10 o'clock.*
 - *Zapardiel: I can't weigh your voice in here more than the voices I've heard outside. They're all worth the same to me. We've compromised.*
 - *Member from the public: 5 of you had to be convinced that someone's life matters! And still 5 of you are still okay with this.*
- *Deshpande: I reiterated this; I know this is an association that's meant to represent the student body. I want to reiterate a fact that she knew that she could go out and die that day; Stephon Clark didn't. Why are you so ready to uphold the police when students here are saying something else?*
 - *Qayum: I made my vote because I believe those lines were just statements of support to start building and bridging that greater gap. I'm doing it in multiple cases. I wasn't born in this country; my family is Afghan immigrants. We need that fixed relationship more than anyone. I see us as united not as divided. Officer Corona dedicated her life where she knew she would die. Yes I do believe ASUCD to some degree has disrespected her. For anyone who thinks I'm going to back down I'm not.*
- *Buchanan: That was a great and rousing masculine performance of itself. There's a few things: one we need to define tokenism, the ways in which*

people can use their identities to promote white supremacy at heart. Two I'm going to push back just a little bit that you're the most disproportionately displaced by police violence. You didn't statistically sample every student who is a sample at UC Davis. I think that we need to address that not everyone's voice isn't equal as your sampling method is already messed up. Last but not least the majority of people in the US support white supremacy. Does that mean that we do what they say simply because they're the majority? No; we should be able to take a valiant stance and support those who are marginalized.

- *Qayum: We have seen this resolution for quite a bit; we have made concessions and removed lines. I believe this resolution literally now just honors to honor SR #8.*
- *Judeh: I feel like we should be listening to what people are saying; they've come out for 3 days now and we should hear them speak.*
- *Member of the public: I just want to say that let's not try to spin this into something it's not. The reason people are so hurt by what y'all are doing is not because they don't want to honor Natalie Corona. They are directly hurt by y'all cuz you keep directly in their face deny that their experiences exist which is called disrespect. I think we need to have a discussion on that that there needs to be some listening right now. We should be sitting in a circle discussing this. I feel like ok to answer your question about compromising voices all of America is compromising voices but not all voices are equal in the United States of America. The United States of America was built on the blood of indigenous peoples. White men like me have a stronger voice and it's actually kind of disrespectful to put the other voices of white men who aren't woke and are just gross above those directly affected by those issues. If the only way you'll hear this is from another white dude I'll speak. It's not that complicated to understand that your experience isn't the only experience that exists; if you're in a position of privilege whether that be race, class, or gender you need to listen to people. All you all keep doing is denying their experiences are real and they keep coming back because they want the people that are supposed to represent them to listen.*
 - *Member from the public: You were saying that you wanted to bridge the gap; it's not your bridge to gap. Your goals are great. You're trying to converge centuries of history into one resolution. You can't do that. I understand you're trying to do all of these things but get off your white horse; you can't do that. Anyone who authored this; did you all watch that thing with H&M with the monkey shirt? How many eyes went through it and no one caught it? With this resolution you're trying to erase an entire history and trying to bridge a new one, a new type of narrative. You're not listening to us; you're listening to you and the people who authored this. Clearly we're going to keep asking you over and over again as this shouldn't be a thing and die. Start again.*
- *Member from the public: I just wanted to say that if you really wanted to honor Natalie Corona you would have gone straight to the family instead of making a spectacle on campus.*
- *Judeh: Specifically four people I'm angry at. I have seen you all sit back and smirk. I've seen you all act argumentative. I take personal offense and other people do too. We're too old for this. At no point is it ever ok for you to sit*

back at the Table with a smirk on your face about people getting killed and brutalized by the police. I honestly can't say that I'm surprised as I've seen people particularly men do this constantly. Ricky you mentioned something about other student voices; I'm sure there are but where are they? I don't see them. You should bring them out. I know you have an issue with Black Lives Matter. You're not even working with the black activists who have been working on this for 600 years. You don't even understand what our experiences have been with the police. I was 11 years old the first time I was brutalized by the police. I grew up in the hood; no one ever held a gun at my head except the police.

- *Member from the public (Tiana): We're not going to change your minds in one night; I just hope you heard us. Mohammad why do you think you can put this all on your shoulders?*
 - *Qayum: If you're referring why I want to take the issue on to bridge the gap I didn't say I'd do it all by myself. To clarify I was not aware of Nayzak's thing; if I showed any disrespect I apologize and it was not my intention. I will reach out to you. A lot of what I'm doing is very early on. I think I can take it on is I'm an optimist; I want to do something good and it's something that I think can be done. I'm saying I want to help them establish a structure and board. I can promise that I can recuse myself from that board.*
 - *Member from the public (Tiana): We're not talking about this committee, we're talking about this fucking resolution. I feel like I'm just talking to you all four. I don't understand why this is emergency resolution. Can you table this? Is there a point of putting this resolution out? I'm not going to be able to tell you all the consequences and brutality because you all don't understand it. We're asking you all to fucking listen. I was there on Tuesday yelling at you; I'm here again.*
- *Singh: I just want everyone in the public to raise their hands to see who is not in favor of having this resolution completely? Who's in favor of it being passed after the removal of those lines? I want to add 1 more thing: if not tabling it now withdrawing it and having ECAC see it. We can talk about it then.*
 - *Member from the public (Tiana): That sounds like a great idea Rina. Yeah you all know what it took for us to come here on a Thursday night? I wish that we could just impeach all of you but we can't. I hope you all get it. I don't know if you all skipped history and don't know the history of oppression on us. It's not just about your intent Mohammad. Even though you all took out those lines we're still upset because we know your intent. Do you understand why we're upset?*
- *Martinez (member from the public): Black Lives matter. We're all human beings. We're all Americans. I don't want this dialogue of black white privilege; it's demonizing to people. People may be loud and everyone has feelings on this but I hope it doesn't influence your decision.*
- *Qayum: Most of you have made your mind up; let's just go to a vote today. I'm not going to withdraw it and send it to ECAC. You've made up your minds. Let's just vote on it.*
 - *Member from the public: Who will we hear from about a complaint that we've wasted too much time on this?*
 - *Qayum: No one in this crowd in particular.*

- *Maraiyesa: I'm going to motion to divide the house on voting on this resolution.*
-

Dividing the House on SR #8				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
	Maya Barak	1		
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Simran Kaur		1	
	Alisha Hacker	1		
	Atanas Spasov		1	
	Jumoke Maraiyesa		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Mohammad Qayum	1		
	Brandon Clemons			1
		Total	8	3

- *Senate Discussion*
 - *Ramirez: I motion to call SR#8 into question as amended.*
 - *Barak: I think we should hear people out first.*
 - *Branson: We're in Senate discussion so only people on the Table can speak.*
 - *Qayum: This was introduced as emergency legislation which was unanimously voted on.*
 - *Chen: What would happen if ECAC voted no on this?*
 - *Qayum: We wouldn't see it.*
 - *Beittel: This is for all of you four; part of being on this Table is learning realities that are different than your own. Learning is active and never ends. Learning is also realizing you made mistakes. It's ok to acknowledge your mistakes and that there's a better way to go about this. I didn't even know about this emergency legislation about this at the beginning because it was still emergency. Progress also doesn't happen by being comfortable. This resolution shouldn't even be on the Table.*
 - *Zapardiel: I see this as being respectful, as something off common courtesy, as something as students appreciating somebody. I don't see this as something that's so complicated as we've been making it. We're just trying to remember this officer. Just because; it's a difficult time. We do have to be uncomfortable. Sometimes we have to open doors that we do not know what lies beyond. It's taking that step forward and to at least try and see it from the perspective of others. I'm not dismissing the black, brown, hood*

experience. Can I please have some respect? Thank you for everyone in the crowd that has spoken and my peers and colleagues. Thank you.

- *Maraiyesa: I want to hear from Gardiner. We've seen you in the past quarters and had a lot of good points; I want you to convince me to want to pass this. It's hard for me to separate things and see this as just a thing recognizing a person who served the city. Those two right now should be separated. It's about equity to me. I know you and I have different viewpoints and opinions but I've heard from people who I've not found convincing at all.*
 - *Gardiner: That's a tough ask. I'm just going to say that this is very emotional on both sides. I haven't spoken too much about this and I know there are other people in this room who share with me that family in law enforcement. This is an incredibly contentious discussion. When I hear things about police officers wanting to do it for benefits and things like they know they put their life on the line every day; I'm not doing a very good job I know. If I can convey anything it's that I'm sorry I can't. There's nothing I can say that will bridge these divides. ASUCD has passed several resolutions in the past recognizing those. I think the resolution now all it does is honor a fallen officer who touched a lot of lives on this campus. I don't know if I can make any argument other than that.*
 - *Maraiyesa: Because both sides cannot agree I think they should just write a statement and whoever agrees with it can just sign it. The intent vs the impact didn't go as both sides wanted it to go. It just makes more logical sense to write a statement where everyone who wants to sign it can sign it. I personally would not pass this resolution and I have my own views. Me, myself, and I would definitely vote no. I think we should all agree with Senator Spasov to write a statement.*
- *Ramirez: I'm not supposed to reject my own personal beliefs. After talking to many people it's come to my attention that there is overwhelming support for this resolution and I don't regret anything.*
- *Kumar: I'd like to explain why I voted no; first I want to say it deeply hurt me when someone during the forum said that all police officers are oppressors and indicated that Natalie Corona was an oppressor. I just think that if we were to; I have an uncle who's a police officer and it scares me that he can go through something like this as well and that people who view all police officers as oppressive... I yield.*
- *Singh: I wanted to say that there are many people on sides who have relatives who are police officers and are in law enforcement. There are literally people that get murdered by institution that is the police. Natalie Corona and her death; we recognize that what happened is wrong. I really encourage one last time and I will not speak again to please listen to what students have to say. You are up here representing students and that includes students of color.*
- *Qayum: Thank you to everyone who came out. I motion to call SR #8 into order as amended.*
 - *Branson: I have sat here quietly listening to everyone. Scarlet gave a lot of good statements and one you said specifically was remove all the whereas and therefore clauses and turn this into a statement which I think is a good idea. There have been many statements on this topic already. I don't think there is any problem adding another statement. ASUCD represents the entire undergraduate population of UC Davis. I*

encourage everyone here to recognize what has been said tonight. Really consider everything everyone has said. There is nothing wrong with a statement; we don't speak for all the undergraduates with this resolution.

- Qayum: I motion to call SR #8 into question as amended.
-

SR #8				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen		1	
	Maya Barak		1	
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Simran Kaur		1	
	Alisha Hacker	1		
	Atanas Spasov		1	
	Jumoke Maraiyesa		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Noah Pearl			1
	Mohammad Qayum	1		
	Brandon Clemons			1
	Total		5	5

- **Vice President Branson voted no and the resolution did not pass.**
- **Break held at 9:50 PM. Meeting resumed at 10:03 PM.**
-

Roll Call				
	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Michael Gofman		1	
	VP Shaniah Branson	1		
	Controller Meital Machulsky	1		
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
	Maya Barak	1		
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Simran Kaur		1	
	Alisha Hacker	1		
	Atanas Spasov	1		

	Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Noah Pearl	1		
	Mohammad Qayum	1		
	Brandon Clemons		1	
	Total	10	2	0
Commission Chairs	AAC - Justin Hurst	1		
	GASC - Joelle Judeh		1	
	BNF - Andre Spinoglio	1		
	IAC - Jacob Ganz			1
	EAC - Nayzak Wali-Ali	1		
	ECAC - Rina Singh	1		
	EPPC - Alice Beittel	1		

- **Senate Bill #31**

- *An ASUCD Senate Bill to place the following Unitrans Undergraduate Fee Referendum on the Winter 2019 ASUCD Ballot*
- *BNF passed unanimously*
- *Public Discussion*
 - *Maraiyesa: I wanted a clarification.*
 - *Hacker: Not only do 20% of the student population need to vote but also 60% of that group has to vote affirmative.*
 - *Wali-Ali: We've made it aware that this can be expensive for students; can students who have never used Unitrans opt out of this fee?*
 - *Hacker: Tuition is the base price that you pay to attend any UC university. Fees are what is compiled. Students before our time agreed that a fee would be implemented on all students equally. Within each fee referendum however 25% of that goes back into financial aid to offset those who are financially impacted.*
 - *Greg Ortiz: UC Davis was the first school to put in the financial aid thing and then UCOP implemented that.*
 - *Gardiner: A robust discussion needs to be done about this when it comes to fee. I feel bad for Jeff as they are stuck in the middle of two forces. I'm going to try and convey to you tonight that it is a problem created by campus not by student fees. We are the only UC as far as I know that fully funds student transportation out of student fees. This fee increase isn't small; it's over \$1 million of student fees.*
 - *Hacker: The Unitrans fee is \$34.50 total as Unitrans gets funding from 4 different fees. This was adding to one specific fee that is unconnected to the ASUCD fee.*
 - *Gardiner: This is coming at a time where the governor introduced \$1.8 billion. If we pass this right now we say that we don't need that money; we can go to students for money. We need to protect Unitrans in the long term.*

- *Hacker: A budget is a reflection of priorities. This budget hasn't passed yet. We don't know if it'll come with us. There's no guarantee that the campus will give the money to Unitrans even if the budget is approved. The minimum wage will continue going up until 2022. We have no idea what the future of Unitrans will hold. I think that us not putting it up to the students to make the decision is us not doing our jobs. You saying yes to this doesn't mean we levy the fees on them tomorrow; 20% of them have to come out and vote and 60% have to vote yes. We're giving them the option to let them choose what their priority is.*
- *Dickman (member from the public): Under the current fee system Davis students can expect to pay \$72 total in their time at Davis. Even if we adjust that number for not 1 but 2 full decades we wind up with a total direct fee of \$105 of a student's total time at Davis. This raises it up to an astounding \$519.96. This may be chump change to some of you sitting here today but for those living off paycheck to paycheck or using loans this is unreasonable. It's important to understand why the fee increase is used the way it is. Chancellor May can use this; the university doesn't want to contribute to Unitrans and put it on its financial problems. It's willing to throw you under the bus. The administration pays a whopping \$0 into Unitrans, the only UC campus that doesn't contribute to its own bus system. Governor Newsom's budget is expected to pass and UC Davis is expected to get an additional millions of dollars. We should make clear to administration officials that as long as they're getting lavish 6 figure salaries we will not put this on backs of students alone. This is the strategy we should be pursuing.*
 - *Branson: We have been asking for help from administration for years. I've sat with Chancellor May and Chief of Staff. He sits there and nods. We are student representatives; transportation is important to students. Let's take the initiative and pass this fee referendum for a long-term solution.*
- *Jeff Flynn: Unitrans is unique among the UCs that we have a bus company that is run by the students and is also associated with the city. We're the only UC that has that partnership. Other UCs have fees passed by their student government that buys them their bus pass. The university passes for the shuttle services for their campus and their Safe Ride system. UC Davis does that; they have the TAPS division that pays for the Berkeley shuttle, the carts that help students get to class, etc. Unitrans and UC Davis are unique by how we're bifurcated. There are a lot of parallels in the system.*
- *Qayum: I don't doubt that work has been done for a couple of years; I want to thank Unitrans and everyone who has been working on it. Let's see what was specifically mentioned. The budget is expected to pass with a ¾ majority. Negotiations with the task force don't start til next week. If someone can clarify how does that not hurt our chances of negotiation? Why give them that upper hand? Can we put something on the ballot in spring? How does that not disadvantage us in the negotiations?*
 - *Hacker: This requires 20% voter turnout. In regular we barely break 8. You think a special election in the spring would bring out 20%? There is billions of dollar needed in deferred maintenance where the money could go. We've tried to go to the university and the city; things have failed. Going to the students is saying that this is a student priority which if this passes strengthens our hand by saying*

that for 3 decades the students have said this is worth it. What is going to show them that we believe in this program? It's student run for a reason because it's student paid for.

- *Qayum: How does it not disadvantage us in the negotiation? You have not answered the question.*
- *Hatefi: The funding coming from the governor's budget: a lot of it is one time funding. They won't necessarily be there next year. Another thing is the admin; we've had multiple presentations of their budget. They have money problems. Even if you negotiate to do something this year next year they won't be able to pay it. Third thing we have to ask ourselves does money from the university come for free? Look at the other UCs. They're in debt and have lost a ton of autonomy. Look at UC Berkeley and UCLA. Getting money from the administration means 2-3 years down the line we may need them and they can tell us whatever they want.*
 - *Branson: If the administration really cared about funding Unitrans Chancellor May has the authority to raise the fee. However he has not done so. This is where we come in.*
 - *Qayum: Noah literally mentioned that point. Chancellor May could have raised the fee. It would have done the exact same thing. We're trying to get admin to come up with money from their side. If this is as imminent as we're trying to make it seem why doesn't Chancellor May just put it on the ballot?*
 - *Hatefi: There is no "their" side; they have budget problems themselves. A lot of the money from the budget will go to prior commitments. The chances of Unitrans getting any part of that pie is not very likely from what we've been told. Is it not better to have students choose for themselves? If we actually manage to get 60% of 20% to come out and vote yes that means they want it! Isn't that better than having the Chancellor come out and make a decision?*
 - *Beittel: If we pass this it doesn't mean we can't pressure the admin to fund Unitrans. We're still going to be having the same conversation. There are very few people who have been in the room trying to get this done. If you really want to pressure our Chancellor you got to get into grassroots organizing and start talking to people and get signatures. I don't see that being done. If that's not happening we have to pass this. What will Unitrans do in 3 years when they have to pay their student employees?*
- *Dickman: When you're in a negotiation and you say I'd really like you to pay for this but it's ok our people can pay for it why would people agree to it? Skipping the whole negotiating process doesn't make any sense.*
 - *Gardiner: An important point was made by Adam and Alice about grassroots organizing. In Sacramento the only way you can get a piece of that money is if you can hire that lobbyist. The only person who can do that here is the administration. The only way we're going to be able to do that is if the administration takes the responsibility to do it. As Shaniah said Gary May just sat back and nodded. It's clear to me that administration knows we'll just go to students and raise fees. That's why they're not funding Unitrans. Administration isn't stupid; they know Unitrans is essential. The end goal for ASUCD shouldn't be just to raise student fees; it's to reform how Unitrans is*

funded. To get to that reform it's not starting with a fee increase it's re-evaluating negotiating with campus.

- *Pearl: It has to pass a vote still. They don't know that for sure. This isn't the first time we're talking about this. That's why we're here.*
- *Barak: The other things we pay for with our tuition include the ARC which we can't use because it's under construction. I personally use Unitrans regularly I believe it's a super useful thing that we have to act upon now. I know that waiting it out might give us an upper hand in the negotiations however negotiations are continuous.*
 - *Dickman: As most of you likely know I was Chief of Staff for the Executive Office. The negotiations are extremely limited; they haven't been trying and I can say that as a fact.*
- *Hacker: We've had the unit director give us a half hour presentation on how they've tried to get money. We were at City Hall to talk about Unitrans funding. There are people who have been working on this have been here longer than we've been here at this university. A student fee would allow them to keep up with the increasing wages and costs. This is something that will keep Unitrans afloat in perpetuity. This problem will persist long after we leave this university. You're looking for solutions that may help in the near term but we need to think about how it'll live for another 50 years.*
 - *Gardiner: Please don't assume things about people talking in opposition. This is a great conversation. The argument here is this a long-term fix; we've had 4 fee increases in the past. Clearly a fee increase isn't solving the problem. We can talk about whether these negotiations are happening or not; if we have a fee referendum on the ballot on spring then we can't expect anything to change. We're just doing the same thing we've done forever. I don't see the strategy here and we have to think strategically.*
 - *Hacker: One thing different about this fee referendum is a CPI adjustment. This is the first fee of its kind tied with inflation. This is not passing it tonight. This is not the end all be all of this discussion. We shouldn't be making the decision on behalf of 30,000 students; we should let them decide what they want to pay.*
- *Dickman: Every Unitrans fee we've passed they've had the same message: this is the last one. It is nowhere near as high as this fee they're proposing now.*
 - *Flynn: The previous fees were set higher than the minimum value needed in acknowledgement that they knew they'd have to go back to the student body again. The goal of this one is not to have to go back to the student body.*
 - *Ortiz: Campus population has also grown by 10,000 people. None of us were anticipating a mandate in the number of people brought onto the campus.*
- *Ganz: This is a very fruitful discussion; I trust Greg, Shaniah, Michael, Jeff, and everyone else who has worked on this so far. I support this and I want to move this forward.*
- *Gardiner: I want to make 1 point: I think administration needs to take responsibility for some of the funding part for Unitrans. Administration is the only people capable of accessing that money which is why they should be shouldering some of the responsibility.*

- *Ganz: It's really hard; even if we pass this there's no guarantee it'll pass the student body.*
 - *Senate Discussion*
 - *Spasov: I go to COSAF every Friday. I get to see where all the money goes to. The one thing I want to make very clear is how many capital intensive projects we have going on in campus right now which are generally higher priority than Unitrans right now sadly. I have to sit and look at Kevin Blue's face for the past 2 months and he wants to impose a \$50 fee for a new stadium and jerseys. Nonsensical things in my book. That is what will currently take priority at this university: not a bus system but a bunch of athletes. Minimum wages to have to be accounted for yada yada yada.*
 - *Maraiyesa: Do we have a say in the athletics department using money for the jerseys?*
 - *Spasov: No. I know people in the athletics department who are tired of having money.*
 - *Flynn: One of the important things to note about Unitrans is that it's half governed by the city and ASUCD. You have an incredible power on how that money is spent instead of athletics for instance.*
 - *Machulsky: Another issue is it's important to note that if we have administration cover it we don't really know how it will affect us in the long term and having the autonomy and pride. The whole system benefits the students as the money goes back to student drivers' wages. Direct effects of Unitrans cutting lines would hurt students directly. I think we should prioritize choosing an option as soon as possible as students will be affected.*
 - *Zapardiel: You said take a pride in the Association and what's ours. We'd lose some of our autonomy if we let someone else take a part of the pie. As much as I don't want to go to students to get the money I want us to consider the long term effects of relying on the university. This just opens up the door for the university to go in and not let us have our thing. This is ASUCD's thing. This would be allowing someone else in to potentially control it. What does this do for potential grant opportunities for Unitrans in the future? What are some things this referendum would be able to do?*
 - *Hacker: These are really competitive grants that are being competed on across the country. This would hurt long term towards the Green Bus plan and capital projects. You are correct. Grant money mostly goes towards capital grants. Those future grants could be in jeopardy.*
 - *Hacker: I motion to call ASUCD Senate Bill #31 into question as amended.*
 - ***Without objections ASUCD SB #31 passes as amended.***
 -

Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Alexis Ramirez	1		
Peiyuan (Tony) Chen	1		
Maya Barak	1		
Sean Kumar	1		
Simran Kaur			1
Alisha Hacker	1		
Atanas Spasov	1		

Jumoke Maraiyesa	1		
Ricky Zapardiel	1		
Noah Pearl	1		
Mohammad Qayum		1	
Brandon Clemons			1
Total	9	1	2

- **ASUCD Senate Bill #11**

- *An ASUCD Senate Bill to Amend Chapter Two and Chapter Four of the Bylaws to reflect recent changes in the ASUCD Constitution*
- *IAC passed unanimously*
- *Public Discussion*
- *Senate Discussion*
 - **Withdrawn.**

X. Introduction of New Legislation

- *Moved to next week.*

XI. Status of Previously Passed Legislation

- *Moved to next week.*

XII. Public Discussion

- *Moved to next week.*

XIII. Public Announcement

- *Moved to next week.*

XIV. Ex-Officio Reports

- *Moved to next week.*

XV. Elected Officer Reports

- *Moved to next week.*

XVI. Approval of Past Meeting Minutes

- *Moved to next week.*

XVII. Adjournment

- *Adjourned at 11:40 PM.*

Minutes taken & completed by:



Nathan Kong | ASUCD Senate Recorder