



ASUCD SENATE MINUTES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, Davis
6:10 PM, MEE Room
May 16th, 2019

I. Call to Order

- *Vice President Deshpande called the meeting to order at 6:10 PM.*

II. Quorum Roll Call

	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Justin Hurst	1		
	IVP Shreya Deshpande		1	
	Controller Kevin Rotenkolber			1
	Vice Controller Shambhavi Gupta			1
Senators	Alexis Ramirez		1	
	Anna Estrada		1	
	Shondreya Landrum		1	
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen	1		
	Victoria Choi		1	
	Rebecca Gonzalez		1	
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
Karolina Rodriguez	1			
Commissions	AAC- Naomi Reeley		1	
	BnF- Noam Marcus		1	
	EAC- Nayzak Wali-Ali		1	
	ECAC- Rina Singh		1	
	GASC- Daniel Tillman		1	

	EPPC- Alice Beittal	1		
	IAC- Henry Nibbelin		1	

- With 10 senators present out of 11 senators seated, quorum was established.

III. Office of the External Affairs Vice PResident

- *Hurst: A very competitive poll. Adam is very hard working and has word a lot in helping establish the community. Very dedicated to lobbying.*
- *Adam: I choose to apply for this position because I have been ECAC Chief of Staff since october. We are starting to get a foothold in the capital and have a good relationship with the city. It is important to use this relationship to work with the city and the state to help students*
- *Kumar: Congrats. Can you give us more information.*
- *Adam: ABAB 6 Written, sponsored, gotten past the appropriations community. This bill will have a significant impact of California's farm economy. Give farmers the ability to receive grants to help with irrigation. Agriculture is one of the greatest contributors to climate change. We can graduall do our part as students and help with the New Green Deal. Came out of the appropriations committee.*
- *Spinoglio: Any specific short term goal*
- *Adam: Working on a few projects. Started Davis Community Operative, serves the non-student community, helps us build relationships with the Davis community, one where we are bringing together city and county resources to help people get accessed to health, warm foods. Long term goals are us to sponsor five bills in the state assembly. We are going to have forums and ask for people's inputs. We are also working with city to reform how residential facilities work. We have high vacancy ratings.*
- *Zapardiel: Great for ASUCD*

Confirmed

IV. Herbicide Free committee Confirmations

- *Beittal: They have great previous experience, leading teams, in student government in the past. Having worked in Herbicide Free Sustainable agriculture, their skills will provide a lot of benefits to the team.*
- *Singh?: Both of them have skills in environmental sustainability. They both have really good leadership experience and past experience in their respective roles and working around conflict. Hearing about those I feel they will do a good job*
- *Sophie Borisan: Undleacred, likely going into something environmental science and agriculture. HERbicide affects the lives of both students and workers. We can promote*
- *Kit CHao: I'm excited to be in this position to promote a herbicide free campus. It has gone really well in other campuses, and I work for WOLF in Japan. I've seen the benefit of working with animals and believe it can succeed in UC Davis*
- *Deshpande: Speak about your past experiences?*
- *Borisan: I served as a student government member back in community college, I've led a civil liberties club and environmental and social justice organizations. I have also helped at a community college level, I want to build community and build solutions for everyone*
- *Chao: I've worked in student counseling and peer counseling. Interacting with the public and community, I believe I have the leadership and experience*
- *Demirah: I applied because it looked interesting*

- *Krueger: You all are super impressive candidates and I'm excited to see what you all do. If you all ever need anything I will be there, with institutional knowledge and definitely here to help you all.*

Confirmed

V. Unitrans Quarterly Report

- *Gonzalez: Did you mention you were still going to have to cut*
- *No, all the service cuts from still. Help to avoid bypasses in the busy day*
- *Krueger: The bus program is super cool. Showing support for climate change and environmental issues. Do you know where you will get the electricity from.*
- *We get all our electricity through the campus, but I do not think that will continue. Campus has a lot of sources. We will try and see how much we can scoop up. We charge at night, what you do is to build a bunch of batteries around the storage facilities and and night when the buses are plugged in, the batteries will charge the buses*
- *Kruger:*
- *Deshpande: you mentioned there was a less amount of students this year. What happened*
- *Revenues aren't down by much, only a hundred- thousand. Just emans somewhere around the last year, people dropped out. We get money through business and finance, so just attendance is down*
- *Estrada: I know construction will be occurring throughout Davis, How can you and the rest of the unit plan for when buses are not able to pick up students?*
- *We talked to the city weekly about construction projects, but the city has not talked amongst themselves. Certain parties in the city did not know about it. The city is really trying to make communication with the community. We do interface with public works weekly.*
- *Estrada: Maybe I could later on talk to you and make a quick-messaging system*
- *We have really been ramping up service disruptions on facebook. People also usually don't pay attention to the messaging system, and we tell people to follow us, but your*
- *Deshpande: How are the drivers in the collisions?*
- *The one that was rear-ended was injured. When a driver is in a collision, they get a day off. We bring them in, talk to them, give them counseling, we took this person to student health, and through the doctor, the student will learn. They get paid-time off because of injuries.*
- *Estrada: Since we have seen legislation for the dissolution of Topsy Taxi, some people were concerned. Do you have a set plan to what will happen to those workers*
- *Currently we employ employee shuttles for our workers. The two of the four they owon have to be retired next month. They are too old. The two lease vans we will return to fleet services. The two feels Taxi owns we will buy those vans, and will use those and other vehicles to do employee shuttles. I believe Greg will say yes to those transfers. We will always get our employees to campus*
-

VI. Picnic Day Quarterly Report

- *Deshpande: For reallocating, if you ever need help we have URSAC, keven our controller heads that. I can pass along his email and can help you with moving.*
- *We thankfully planned ahead and we don't have to re-allocate.*

VII. Bike Barn Quarterly Report

- *Estrada: Thank you for the good report. Do you all have any numbers or any idea for what number of students you see, knowing that might help with reaching out with First Years?*
- *We generally get people of all different ages, seniors selling bikes or freshmen with bike problems. We don't really keep customer information, but we do have a new system coming in and hopefully we can keep customer profiles, but that is something maybe next report*
- *Deshpande: I know you all buy new bikes, how well do those sell in comparison to repairs or auction*
- *They sell well in the beginning of the year. We knock their prices down. We sell most used bikes mostly in the beginning of the year. It's more seasonal.*
- *Rodriguez: Why are simply repairs so much more expensive than at other locations?*
- *We are not making an incredible amount of money, but we factor in the time it takes for a mechanic to make a repair and some things take a while to do. We are pretty precise about that amount of time it takes*
- *Spinoglio: You said the rentals are do, you know why or any steps to take*
- *They are just not as conditioned yet, if things go the way we want, we will be at a hundred and fifty percent*

VIII. HAUS Quarterly Report and Confirmation

- *J.J.: I am reapplying because HAUS desperately needs a unit director. In the two years I have been in HAUS there have been 4 unit directors which is a telltale sign that something is wrong. Also HAUS has not truly been doing what it should be doing.*
- *Gonzalez: I sat in on JJ's interview and I think he would also be a beast as the unit director. Also for continuity sake he has a lot of institutional knowledge and I think that is very important.*
- *Kumar: So what are some goals you wish to achieve or tackle you did not get to in your lfast terms*
- *Housing day as a money generating event is slipping. HAUS needs to maintain some form of money and it doesn't not have to come in the form of one six hour event in a year.*
- *Spinoglio: Since you have been the unit director for so long, what have you learned along the way?*
- *JJ: Across the board if all unit directors really well document everything. Just as a whole, documenting stuff, the institutional knowledge is really important.*
- *Barak: What are some future projects, hogals, in general you look forward to doing*
- *JJ: We are currently working with student housing and bringing back the website.*
- *Singh: There have been issues in terms of international students, specifically from the Chinese community and I know you have been working to address that. Have you been working with the discrimination and housing committee for these situations?*
- *JJ: There has always been a fine line between advising and advocacy. we are a commercial unit, so selecting some becomes a difficult situation. In all other instances, its wisest to take an advisory position but not boots to the ground.*
- *Chen: I want to personally thank you for what you have done to chinese international students. Can you give a brief update with the housing*
- *JJ: WE housing was a company which was for subleasing at the corporate level. Helps international students find housing. They collected rent but did not pay, so students got eviction notices. Student housing has set up a trust fund. Students are not in*

immediate risk of eviction. For the most part, as long as students keep paying the trust accounts, the students will be fine.

- Estrada: Do you have any knowledge about government assistance housing? In the apartments students are being evicted because they were not qualified because past people were not following the guidelines.
- No, Big A and Little A, there is a void for students to access. But please shoot me an email.

Confirmed

- Tillman: Do you have a stance on a renter's bill of rights? Does that exist or w
- JJ: That sounds like a wonderful idea, I would like to spin that and revamp the model lease, it was truly a gold standard. At this point there is some obligation for internet, but yes, we would love to see something done. Perhaps it needs to be reborn
- Kaur: Is housing day zero waste
- JJ: Everything we do is zero waste. But the housing directors might bring balloons. We really try our hardest.
- Kaur: Do you have any ideas for other revenue
- JJ: Right now we are looking at CHL. Students housing is thinking of footing that bill. The alternative is facebook, but there is a lot of bots and scams, so students housing rather talk the hand
- Deshpande: You know this floor will be vacated, do you know what you will do. Will your office having a HAUS Phone number help
- J.J.: I still get calls from people six years ago asking for housing day. People are still be contacted and emails are being spread by property managers, asking at 8AM about housing day. We really needed a landline but we didn't have an office. Creative Media has been really helpful but when the third floor is vacated this will be really hard. We will be moving to A street and this is important to property managers
- Deshpande: I will see what I can do about that. About getting a new phone
-

IX. Experimental Community Gardens Quarterly Report

- Kuar: I will email you or you will contact me. I think it would be great if we all work together.
- Singh: Thank you for the way you are doing your work. Many people disregard social justice. I really appreciate that. I appreciate the steps you all are taking to address the accessibility of the garden
- Rodriguez: I was wondering what outlets are you using to promote the garden. I know a lot of students are not aware of it.
- I don't really do a lot of outreach, There were a lot of issues with the garden, the record keeping was a mess. Outreach has not been an issue. I get emails from people constantly wanting to come and rent at the garden. Definitely finding ways to get undergrad students out there. We have some gardeners there but this is a shared space so students come whenever they can, The plot sizes might be intimidating to people, so finding ways to make it easy for other students to come in. Addressing social justice is something I want to work on and pass to the next person, but there is so much mechanical and logistical things. But I think this year the garden can work better
- Deshpande: The office of external affairs vice president, are you working to deal with food insecurity?
- No
- Deshpanda: What are your long term goals, expanding farm? Increasing crop yield?

- *I think one would be to form relationship with the Pantry and to harvest food safely for donation. Formalizing that project would be good, There is a lot of academic potential there. Another part of the SLLC is to have the five acres north for another five acres that is not being used. The long term plan is to have more community space, which could increase revenue and dedicated more space to these food security concerns.*
- *Krueger: The work you are doing is impressive, connecting environmental and social issues. If there is any way EPIC can help that would be great*
- *Ortiz: I could probably help you with the trees, maybe have someone willing to cut down the trees to save some money.*

X. Davis Housing Discrimination Committee Chair Confirmation

- *Edgar: Me, Rina, we joined the Davis housing committee interview, we believe you will bring the change that we need.*
- *(next to rina)I feel like she will be a strong candidate to where it needs to go and she has the skills.*
- *(Ayana): I choose to apply because it is an issue that needs to be taken care of. Being homeless should not be part of the college experience. We all have an experience of what a home issue and we just need to give a voice for these people and hold people accountable.*
- *Rodriguez: Do you have any plans of action to address issues?*
- *I'm still new but I want to hold these people accountable. I will have a plan.*
- *Singh: I just wanted to share how the committee came about. Beginning this year, tabling ecac, a student shared to us, issues about the black community. The solution we came up with was a center where students could share their experiences and get them resources in and on campus. There was a good job with legislation and interviewing. Do you think that right now, are there possibility that ECAC can pair up with you to tackle various issues*
- *Yes, A lot of these people are minorities. Yes.*
- *Deshpande: What do you see the problem is with discrimination amongst housing and what viable solutions can be brought*
- *The property managers come off as rude and they push them away and discourage them. I would like to address them to resources.*
- *Tillman: I want to extend an invitation from GASC, there are a lot of complex issues and would like to invite you.*

Confirmed

XI. Revisit Herbicide Free confirmation (Danielle)

- *Beittal: Herbicide free people are hired for specific positions. Danielle has great experience and I had an excellent interview with her*
- *I have worked a lot with environmental issues and got the city board to fix the water problems. I am also a communications officer on another club on campus*

Confirmed

XII. The Pantry Unit Director Confirmation

- *Ryan*
- *Kevin: I sat in on the Pantry interviews. His interview was the most importance I ever seen. I gave Ryan a nine out of ten. I highly recommend this candidate.*
- *Deshpande: I think his interview was phenomenal, he came in with budgets and bulls prepared*

- *Ryan Choi: The position that we created here in ASUCD is here to fight against food insecurity across the UC system and working with CSU's. I worked with the Aggie Compass. We have exponential growth on the first floor of MU. We saw food security become a wide-spread topic amongst friends, faculty, professors. I am here to enact that vision and to work on them. I was working 16 hours with staff on why we need to make this a priority and the way we develop this program needs to be reflective of this community. I want challenge and push my co-workers as much as they can.*
- *Choi: Ryan is a really good candidate. He has so much experience. What are your long term plans?*
- *We want to increase the accessibility and visibility. I saw the models that other schools are using, but I believe we are in a position to develop a staff structure that is long term. That is why I was doing staff chats. Understanding the basic, fundamentals of the food Pantry is a goal for me. 5-10 years down the line, we are working on satellite pantries right now, pantries for grad students. It is not enough that I know about this, it is needed we all know about this. It is making sure all of you understand what is being addressed, what concerns we have, and what we have to support*
- *Estrada: Can you use facebook to promote the Pantry, or maybe even move it to a mobile app to have a specific location. Maybe the UC Davis mobile app? Moving forward with visibility*
- *Having app, what does that mean? That is a lot of work. We need to address where we are diverting our resources. An app is one additional resource? Or is that addressing the need for physical connection in a space? We need to address physical interaction. Send me an email. If we make an app, we need to make it different from Berkeley's app. They are spending 10 times more than we spend on a weekly basis. A wholesale distributor like Sprouts for Berkeley gives them control over what they are providing, we work with the Yellow food bank but we don't get control over what we are providing. That is something we need to address before we implement an app*
- *Deshpande: Since the beginning of this quarter, Ryan has been reaching out many times to ensure the unit succeeds.*

Break:

XIV. QUORUM ROLL CALL

	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Justin Hurst	1		
	IVP Shreya Deshpande	1		
	Controller Kevin Rotenkolber	1		
	Vice Controller Shambhavi Gupta		1	
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Anna Estrada			1

	Shondreya Landrum			1
	Sean Kumar			1
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen			1
	Victoria Choi		1	
	Rebecca Gonzalez		1	
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Karolina Rodriguez		1	
Commissions	AAC- Naomi Reeley		1	
	BnF- Noam Marcus		1	
	EAC- Nayzak Wali-Ali			1
	ECAC- Rina Singh	1		
	GASC- Daniel Tillman		1	
	EPPC- Alice Beittal		1	
	IAC- Henry Nibbelin		1	

7 Senators

XV. Emergency Legislation Senate Bill X

- We are in financial strain this year, it turns out we are \$530 thousand in deficit. There were some cuts too difficult to make, so this was the most logical.
- Kevin: ASUCD has a next reserve, we are only allowed to take out 10%. Even with the 225,000 and the cuts we made, we are on a razor thin margin, costs have gone up, and only \$41 to work with. So unit directors fighting over \$2 each. If it is not approved overwhelmingly we will be in a deficit.

Emergency Legislation-- Senate Bill X				
	Name	Yes	No	Abstain
Executives	President Justin Hurst			
	IVP Shreya Deshpande			
	Controller Kevin Rotenkolber			
	Vice Controller Shambhavi Gupta			
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		

	Anna Estrada			
	Shondreya Landrum			
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen			
	Victoria Choi	1		
	Rebecca Gonzalez	1		
	Maya Barak	1		
	Andre Spinoglio	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Karolina Rodriguez	1		
Commissions	AAC- Naomi Reeley			
	BnF- Noam Marcus			
	EAC- Nayzak Wali-Ali			
	ECAC- Rina Singh			
	GASC- Daniel Tillman			
	EPPC- Alice Beittal			
	IAC- Henry Nibbelin			

- **Public Discussion**

- Is 15% the top we will let ourselves go?
- Hurst: 15 is because this is unprecedented. Each 5% we add is equivalent about 2 years. We are already budgeting falling from 4 years worth
- Spinoglio: Are you all intending it to be from 10-15% or raise to 15%
- Hurst: we want to do "up to"
- Senate Discussion:
- Hurst: we are already running a deficit. If we pull even more from our reserves teh university will step in and who knows what they will do
- Spingolio: I agree with you that this is bad business, this needs Greg's approval. If we were able to control this, I see this as a huge problem. I think that Greg still has his wheel on the hand on this makes me more comfortable.
- Hurst: This underscores the importance of passing the referendum on the end of the year
- Marcus: What is going to happen on the reserves in the future? The runnings day to day?
- Hurst: Capital reserves are not affected, only net reserves. This is a last resort. Budget hearings don't go in the president's way. Its to utilize bad business practices. Regardless of what we are pulling out of reserves, next year we have to pull less.
- Into Question as Amended

Passes

XVI. CONSIDERATION OF NEW LEGISLATION

Vote to see senate resolution #14		YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		
	Anna Estrada		1	
	Shondreya Landrum			
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Sahiba Kaur			
	Peiyuan Chen		1	
	Victoria Choi	1		
	Rebecca Gonzalez		1	
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel	1		
	Karolina Rodriguez		1	

Consideration of Senate bill #14

- *Zapardiel: I object to the constitutionality of this bill, it was not introduced properly*
- *Singh: ECAC can introduce resolution on the same day.*
- *Author’s comments (Buchanan): I am a founder of SWERV, we took to the streets and began organizing. This is the culmination of a lot of work behind police brutality and police violence. I want to talk about “evidence” and weighing “evidence”. A lot of folks talked about their “feelings” and “feeling” uncomfortable. I hope people got to talk about the issues being presented. Evidence is important because from what I heard from people who disagreed with this is because it was based on anecdotal evidence of family members or single individuals. I want us to think about patterns and how we can use evidence to influence good policy. This is situated in a larger conversation. Davis is part of our focus, this resolution speaks to what we can do to change in this room and out community, but this is part of a larger conversation. People are bringing their various communities to campus. This is not some hermetically sealed space for people that are just students, or across the causeway for black and brown people brutalized by police. When we look at something like this, disarming police is not radical. Other places have done much more radical things. This is an attempt to begin to limit the excessive and unchecked growth of militarization of policing in the United States. I wanted to also bring up things from myself. Pamela Turner, a black woman killed by police, she yelled “i’m pregnant” and was shot anyways. That is the experience I am bringing in, I am bringing in Jennifer Michelle Cedeno-Ornelas, who was brutalized last week. This has to do with the experiences in which campus police can do violence and can be justified after the fact. I encourage you all to be engaged with this more excessively, last time people were not heavily engaged. People told me folks wanted to read this but no one reached out to me to do this work, to change the text.*
- *Deshpande: Respect gender pronouns, use “I” statements. ELMO, Enough, Let’s Move On, and move on and BUBBLES if there is a word or concept people are not aware of.*
- *Singh: If i see smirking or anyone making fun of anyone I will “pop off.” I want to make that clear.*

- *Public: Point of inquiry, did the police department get 24 hour notice of this legislation*
- *Singh: The police department does know*
- **Public Discussion**
- *JB: Thank you all for coming for this resolution. I don't agree with the resolution because I don't think it seems appropriate for this campus. The police does have issues, but the main thing is that it has to be tailored to each city. The problems of Davis are different from Chicago. There has been no situation of a police department shooting at Davis. We have a fairly good relation with the police and I think this being here is good for discourse. But it does not make sense to disarm the police, unfortunately there have been a lot of shootings, its basic sense is only having a "good" person with a gun. The police are the best response that we have. I hope that does not happen but it's something we must consider. Also there is some language that is confusing and unfair. I want the senators to make this vote, they might hear terms like white supremacy but we have 40,000 students and counting, this is just loud voices in the back*
- *Gonzalez: Last week I did meet with Rina and Nayzak and looked over the language of this bill. I do have a question, why was ECAC unwilling to compromise over the language. Why were they not open to making any changes or any suggestions and why was ECAC not willing to compromise?*
- *Singh: When we remet, I told you that we were going to make a note of it and discuss. The suggestions provided seemed like you were diluting the substance of the language. We did not think it was necessary and we thought you were diluting substance*
- *Nayzak: I do thank you for speaking to us, but again, it wasn't up to me in particular. It is up to the author and the community. The points brought up were not changes the community felt necessary. I have no say in what happens to the suggestions.*
- *Deborah: I am part of the UC Davis Mental Health Initiative. I believe it is important to bring up the relevance of this resolution. It is related to mental health. Countless folks are more likely to be brutalized. Plenty of research that disproportionately hurts that of people of color, especially African American communities. Police approach folks with unnecessary violence as seen recently in the UC Davis Bookstore. People approach with unnecessary violence and communities of color and prone to developing mental health related problems. This Resolution is important, not to bash the police, but to give more of a community guideline. Disarmament of police is a small step to ensuring safety in UC Davis. Life is folks with diagnosed or undiagnosed folks are brutalized by police, we don't want to pit students against one another, to further face with injustices. This issue affects me really strongly, I am glad to be here.*
- *Zapardiel: As a man of color, I think this is a hasty and premature culture. This disrupts ASUCD, student body, Chief Farrow. It tells the police department that their work doesn't work, there are oversight commissions. They ensure connections are built with communities of color. We live in a very evil world and so we have people with the means to protect is important. The only way to deter of fight that evil is with police means. The language in this resolution is very extreme, hasty, not spoken with all parties. We are already in conversations with the police department and things have been better. Its sad what happened last week. I want to remind senators how we voted last time. I'm not dismissing opinions but i'm going with my duties to protect all students.*
- *Casey: First I wanted to set out few basic guidelines. In no way am I trying to invalidate or refute the idea that there is a serious issue with policing in america, and Davis. I conflict with the actual bill, which does not seem to address the core "evil" and negative aspects in the police department. I have not seen demonstrated evidence of abuse by the police department, only 8 years back to a pepper spray incident. So if we exist without the weapons, those abuses are going to continue. If we make the culture of students vs police we are going to continue this culture. I propose we allow cooler minds to prevail, and suggest more mental*

health training for police, and training. If they had more training on how to handle individuals and safely calm down community members, maybe training can help. I myself have been a victim of crime, two bike locks stolen from me in the last 3 weeks. There was an armed robbery earlier this year, if we take away the police ability to prevent crimes, it seems we are acting swiftly on good intentions but wont fix the issues

- *Spinoglio: I wanted to make my statements clear. The term "uncomfortable" has been used a lot. I feel uncomfortable putting my name next to something I do not believe in, or do not believe to be true. I don't believe in "we as a student body in the university cannot support this increased militarization if we are ..." I don't see an increase in militarization. You say UC Davis police would ever get military grade hardware. This is going over the top. There is an undertone of beliefs I do not hold. I resonate with some audience. I do not refute facts minorities are abused by police. I'm saying there are things in this resolution that I do not personally believe in.*
- *Darby: I was there for what happened with Jennifer Michelle Cedeno, what happened did not involve any weapons. Disarming the police does not go far enough. What they did was pretty brutal. Did not treat her like a human. Did not listen to her. I was in shocked and horrified. You have a women held down against her will and that she was raped. This is about the training and the mindset, this is the problem of cops not going in to rehabilitate people. I felt guilt when she disappeared. She was wrapped up and in restraints. Whether she stole anything is besides the point. How they treated her was less than human. I've seen people treated far better, and I think its a huge issue of systemic racism and its a gendered issue. She was a woman of color and when she disappeared I didn't know what would happen to her. Her parents were deported, I don't know where she will go. They didn't listen to her, or try to calm her down. If they are to be a police force, its their civic duty to help people, and they were not helping her*
- *Wali-Ali: I wanted to bring up somethings by Chief Farrow. UC Davis Police have not used weapons or guns. Attributing it to "guns" is not accurate. There is this mentality that nothing that happened, which is very reactive. I hate how this is done after someone is hurt. I agree with the people who say it is not with the weaponry. Chief Farrow is really open about this discussion, he said even if it does pass he still wants to work with the students. Also when we talk about students of color being fearful of students, I don't expect anyone to have to endure the emotional turmoil again but there have been situations where hijabs have been taken off by police. When we are talking about this we have to contextualize this. Davis is not a special place, saying we are not the same as LA is ignorant. When we look at the trans-black women killed they rarely make the news. This is the start of a long journey. Crime has gone down and guns have not been used.*
- *Public: I would like to stop using "person of color" We are all different, using that is just racist.*
- *Wali-Ali: I am worrying that is a triggering term to you, I am referring to people who are systematically discriminated. But I will try to refrain from words that trigger you*
- *Liam: Would you mind explaining the UCSB situation?*
- *So UCSB passed a disarm resolution unanimously about a month ago*
- *Liam: My biggest problem with this resolution is what do we do instead? Clearly there is a need for some type of public service and the police do that. The part of being a police officer is to be armed, so I believe in something less radical. We are trusting out safety to the Agge house, I do not think people want to trust others. There are other system that might work, but I don't want to take out a system and put one in that has not been empirically proven. A lot of what police do here is also responding to service, a lot of people do call 911, if we disarm our police, that will continue to happen on campus, and this puts undue burden on the city of Davis. A lot of community services by the people of Davis will be lost, and this just makes the response times longer and puts Davis police on campus instead. A lot of our officers are recent*

graduates, highly educated, want to help us. I just want to say the bookstore incidence is to look at the evidence. I think it is important to remember the information the public has is Chief Farrow's and one other person. What is available to the public is very slim. I think it is important to be critical of the evidence we are presented with.

- *Rodriguez: If there's ever a shooting on campus the Davis police will respond first. Safety is to 911, so there is no need for UCDPD to help them. Also you mention points about safety. People from marginalized communities never feel safe. Also police officers aren't inherently good, they are to protect white supremacy, to protect white people and police people. Do we need to wait for someone to be harmed to take things seriously? Ricky mentions the language was extreme, but did you ever reach out? It's hypocritical to say you are here for the community when you were talking about Experimental College. The community is here and the fact you are not supporting us is not supportive of that.*
- *Dexter: I want to respond to the claim police are not being armed. I've seen UC police with assault weapons. There were police with military grade carbine rifles. The fact they need guns is contradictory to the fact they haven't used guns. Europe doesn't use guns. I want to bring up two court cases. Lozito vs NYC. A stabber stabbed over 6 people. While the police were following him, but they did not want to apprehend him. They let him on a subway, and he stabbed people. The man stabbed in filing the lawsuit. Lozito fought down the stabber and then the police came in. Court says police had "no obligation to step in". They are here to protect property. Then there is the Parkland shooting. People were killed despite the presence of armed officers. Court says police had no "duty." UC police are not accountable to state law or students, they are regulated by the UC board of regents, which is undemocratic. The rest of the board is composed of CEOs, bankers, etc. The police at our campus are here to protect the investments of the Board, they are the ones benefiting from this. Good example is that this morning in San Francisco, UC police arrested people for protest. UC Davis incident, they did not even leave the store, so how could it be shoplifting. Disarming them is an essential step to empowering the students of this university*
- *Ryan: I want to talk about discourse. If anyone is not clear, this resolution has no actionable affect. Which is interesting, because if we want to start a conversation, there are divides. Calling one of the parties "terrorists" I don't think that's a good way to start the conversation. Especially since we recently had a professor call for the murder of police officers. After that happened, a lot of members in the community, they spoke out against the professor and met with death threats, particularly the black and brown members of my organizations. That is not discourse, that is not how you overcome obstacles. We should have discussed over public policy, But calling police terrorists is part of the problem. How is this going to solve the problem? Is this just going to aggravate a party in the dispute?*
- *Choi: A resolution is a statement of opinion. I want everyone in the room to ask themselves if the university, office of the president, would disarm the police. I think to debate this as if the police would be disarmed is disingenuous, intellectually dishonest, and this goes for people of all opinions. I also want to echo we should all be respectful. In this forum, we are going to try to have a conversation. Let's be civil. Also, we have seen this resolution, let's not say the same thing as last time. Let's just not do a do-over of last time. Personally we should not be taking this at face-value. This should be a starting point for discussion. They won't actually disarm. I think we can open a gateway in the improvements overall so everyone can come to something that is agreeable. I don't think people in this room will change their opinions. So let's be cognizant of this.*
- *Muhammad: They said let's address facts. One of the facts mentioned is that police rarely intervene. What it actually says 49% of the time police respond. We want that number to go up. Does disarming the police help? The answer is that it will go down. I recommend you all read the study. Second, the ASUCD executive office made a statement after the bookstore*

incident before Chief Farrow. There was lack of fact in this statement. They did take the individual to a hospital and was medically cleared. They found no mental problems. The person was not affiliated with the school and were checked up on. I do sympathize with the person and I did believe the police did the right thing, but the aggression could have been lowered. Just to be clear, Lozito vs NYC was not decided in the Supreme court by the NY supreme court. This was a city law, and the situation is awful and those police officers should be reevaluated and retrained, but disarming the police is not the solution. I think the goal is to create a discussion. Things progress over time. Police reformation not police abolishment. When people say this is the first step, it sounds like first step to abolition. I urge senators to think about what they are putting their name behind. The loudest person is not the most representative person. I have served on this table. Look at how they treat a person of color who disagrees with them. I know it can be scary for senators, but please don't forget the fact you will be putting your name behind something not full of fact, or the smartest thing in the world

- Public: The Lozito case was appealed to the Supreme Court

Split the House		YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Senators	Alexis Ramirez		1	
	Anna Estrada	1		
	Shondreya Landrum	1		
	Sean Kumar		1	
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen			1
	Victoria Choi	1		
	Rebecca Gonzalez			1
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
	Karolina Rodriguez	1		

- Angel: I took notes, I will respond one by one. Someone mentioned Davis is different from LA and NY, but we see more police violence in these areas because there is a greater population of poor black and brown people. Davis is more white. Police are here to protect these groups. The idea that police are here to protect us, science says no. Police don't usually arrive in time, people are already killed. Another point. UCPD and Davis PD arrive here in the same amount of time. They are not protecting us. They said abuses don't happen. Demilitarizing and disarming means anything people can use to dehumanize people. Someone said disarming is not far enough. I agree but we still need to disarm, by disarming we force ourselves to have creative discussions. What are the causes of mass shootings. These are things of toxic masculinity, racism. If we disarm the police, we can confront the issue of violence in society. Police are only to protect property, they value this university's property more than student lives on campus. I want to emphasize who feels safe after police brutality on campus. Racial terror is not a start to good conversations. I respond by saying white people have silenced people. There are death threats of trans and queer people. Someone on the table said it was a statement of opinion. The police will not actually disarm, but ASUCD is one avenue of student

power and student voice. We show to the cops and regents, those are the CEOs and Bankers. So again, I want to note it's important for ASCUD to pass this because it allows for alternatives without guns. The police report, the police lie all the time, we see it happen all the time. The police already do not use guns to protect us. We are reimagining how police can protect us.

- *Chen: Is it true UC Davis police department is not the first to respond on emergency scenes on campus? I don't know who can answer this question. Also, last time I heard rumors that other services might be cancelled. I don't want other student services to be impacted by this bill.*
- *Spingolio: I can assure student services won't be cut.*
- *Chen: And the first question*
- *Public: University has its own responders, if there was a mass shooting, other agencies will come. If you call 911 it will go to our dispatch. If not, they will reroute it.*
- *The city police will also be notified in a mass shooting*
- *Ernesto: I want to talk to you as an undocumented mexican immigrant that came from mexico to the United states for a better life. Mexico has a lot of problems with the police being corrupt and I can speak to that because my family was there for more than I was. It was really gun and gang related. That's why I came to Davis. As a minority, it can happen. Disarming police is not the solution here. You might think that I don't represent you. Yes I don't. I represent myself. I am here for my DACA. Some of you that have spoken have more privilege than I do. I can't go out of state. I fear for my life of being deported. My family told me not to talk to police. Working with some police officers, I have found that they are humans. They are human beings. Saying that they are "evil" or "white supremacist," does that make me a white supremacist? Thanks, thats your opinion. I don't get in trouble with the police because I can't, as the President makes clear. Making this bill, or whatever, is hurting a lot of people. Freshman year, I did not even hear about ASUCD. We don't publicly know. I don't feel represented right now, not what I believe in. You all are representing your all's beliefs. I'm just saying you all have your own opinions. If the student body votes, people will vote to disarm to the police. Some of you advocating to disarm the police will just leave Davis and not care about it. I say I prefer having officers getting trained more for mental illnesses and that kind of thought, would be better than disarming them. Who will respond to a mass shooting? 61 Davis police? Or having Dixon, Woodland, Sacramento to respond to things such as Officer Corona? Davis is not big enough to have 3,000 officers to protect us. We aren't London, we have our own laws. Disarming police there is different from here. It's hard to even say that. People from mexico cause harm. But there are some people that want to do good. I don't think having this bill is a good thing for this campus. Think about whats inside this campus, not outside.*
- *Deshpande: Thank you all for being here. I just want to express what I think this bill is addressing. A lot of what the bill says has already been done by the police department. This resolution pushes the UC Davis police to go further. Chief Farrow says he doesn't know why the police used excessive force. The police institution has a reliance on weapons, why are they restricting her. It has been said the university police are here to protect property. I'm glad Chief Farrow made sure this woman took her to the hospital. But that's not the norm, I think this resolution can bring a norm. Yes, police won't drop their guns but let's consider the folks that are being harmed and what they are asking for. Muhammad said they did not use guns, albeit they did not need their guns. Albeit is a systematic trend of relying on force. The police have been....*
- *Tianna: Most of the stuff you all disregarded in this statement I learned about in class. You all are sitting here saying its not true or historically accurate, but I guess you are all STEM majors and don't take these classes. If the connotation about privilege happens, we can definitely talk*

about this. Also, I thought we were to use "I" statements, so keep it to yourself. Someone mentioned there has been work by the UC Davis police, but I haven't seen anything. Regents saying you are working on this but nothing happens. Looking at the diversity of the campus, white people are 22%. Then black students are only 4%. Majority should not matter because I still matter. Someone said police are inherently good. Just look at how many black and brown people are killed by police. I feel afraid when I walk by the police. The bookstore incident, they did not use guns but the way they reacted scares everyone since they have guns. They didn't hesitate to sit on her, which a black man died from. We are colored people. People of color who take ethnic study classes know our history. What we doing instead of disarming police should not be our job, that's not our problem, they are getting paid for it. Last time, Rina and Nayzak gave facts, how police don't usually respond, and it boggles my mind why you all wonder what police will do. You all weaponizing your identity to be white-passing, I don't feel safe everyday. The racial hierarchy, blacks are at the bottom. You have to understand this whole world is not for you, it's also for people like me. Its sexuality, its gender. You say you represent the sends, but I don't feel represented. I have freshmen, sophomores, and juniors who count of me, and I don't even feel safe.

- Singh: We talked about discourse. Let's talk about discourse. It interesting to hear this come from somebody who would say a very racist comment condemning the Executive office response to the bookstore incident. You said "wait is that Chilate" I got death threats, so when we took a stance of the professor, people who were black and brown folks got death threats, constantly. I remember you wrote a resolution in winter after the death of Officer Corona, that was ASUCD taking a stance on police. Previous senator Jumoke said ryan is someone with facts. You said well, this is what I believe. Believe. That's the problem. You could not back up with numbers. So when we take a stance, we are doing what you are trying to do, the difference is we have real data. If you have numbers to counter what we have to say, then let's talk.
- JB: Two things. The first is why analysis matters, the second is about tokenism. Why does analysis matter, because people can be part of a group and not understand the larger social forces which shape their experiences. What I've seen here today is an attempt to break apart the connections between history and the larger social forces, to talk about individual experiences. Analysis matters, being part of a community is not enough. My own community, you can be Ben Carson or Omarosa and do things that hurt black communities while still being part of those communities. Tokenism. I talked about this in the Aggie Op-Ed. This is a huge issue of tokenism. People bring on people of color to advance goals. One of the best ways is to say you can't critique us because we have a black person, or LGBTQ person. This encourages us to be confused. Bodies don't speak their truth on their own. We have to think through their history. When we talk about people having different experiences of violence, different people have different understandings of the world than someone else. We should always be thinking about what is everything behind them. Neoliberalism encourages us to ignore things. Humans beings may all be different but when we structure ourselves into institutions, institutions change how we behave. All humans might be different but other humans can carry weapons. I can have a difference of opinion but I don't have the capacity to carry weapons. Trump campaigned on hard law enforcement, reinstaten 1043 program. He expanded the military police in fear of black, brown bodies, around the wealth gap of people in the united states. I want to touch on the Europe also have small villages who experience violence. When we talk about comparisons, we are talking about comparisons about places that are similar. It's actually about 49-50% of the time that they don't show up, but when they do show up, only in 25% of the cases do they intervene to stop things.
- Rodriguez: I don't want to invalidate anyones work on this resolution. When it passes, its a big step for the community. It has the potential to galvanize movement in other UC's. The police

has not been reformed and we don't have the power to abolish the police. We are here to make a space where students can feel safe. We need to represent our communities, but all communities as well.

- *Estrada: The point here is not us being against the people, but that of state sanctioned violence that we are against. Being undocumented is part of that state sanctioned violence. We have the right to bear arms yet that does not mean there is less gun violence or to deter people from using their guns, it just proves that it's not working. This is a direct action item, we can make this a movement about what is happening throughout the US. When I see police, I feel fear.*
- *Alma: Definition of how to police in united states, it was not until 1830s that centralized... police is the "slave patrol" created in 1704. Increased military spending, we are giving money to cops, not to reform our communities. There are a lot of brown people who are here who feel comfortable, that's amazing. But so many people here that work with communities working and making programs to make our communities feel safe. Statistic to people saying cops in Davis if there is a shooting, Davis is marked the 50th safest city in california. Do we genuinely need to arm police? The fact students are saying we do not feel comfortable is... its such a big thing. You all decide to show up now? The facts are there, you are going to vote the way you will vote, all I can show is human empathy. The fact one of your students say they are not comfortable, people come from places that have felt safe by police. People don't feel that way. People don't feel comfortable around police. Facts have been said. People have been telling you how they feel. I don't know what else must be said. At the end of the day, just vote, votei right, just don't vote dumb.*
- *Gonzalez: Black and brown people are disportionately discriminated by police. No one can deny that. But Chief Farrow mentioned a solution to this was black and brown people in the police force. Also having that of a DACA student into the police force. Why was this all not included?*
- *JB: I don't think that has to do with disarming the police. I also say that research shows diversified police force does not change the actual incidence of violence. Both black and white police officers are just as likely to shoot black people. Having bodies in these institutions, do not change anything. It takes over 65% of a particular of community in order to actually affect the way police thinks. The research does not support*
- *Connor: There are two problems being discussed. The harm that police supposedly address. The harm that police are creating. The harm that police address are not being addressed. It's not our job to bring up alternatives, yet there is still research on people with knowledge on those types of things. Some people might be willing to provide that information. Again, police are a fundamentally oppressive institution and this resolution doesn't go far enough. I would support abolishment. But this resolution is just to reform then and the amount of harm they create. That is something that has been brought up but if the police chief is so supportive, this is one step to materially show that because we can go off of rhetoric. If they are doing something real, this is one thing they could do. Support this and moving funding from the police to alternative resources. Fund programs with funding not from the police. Last thing I want to mention, in terms of the book store incident, even if no weapons were used, though were were things described as weapons, even if not the case, this creates a culture of fear. Studies show that police are more likely to use excessive force, even if they don't use the weapons, they are more psychologically inclined to use those weapons.*
- *Landrum: The topic of discourse. I have been told that I am not a person because I am black. Called the n-word with the hard er. The fact is that everyone wants to keep talking but not action. We can continuously talk, but if nothing is going to happen, what's the point. We can say racism doesn't exist, but people do see color and the color of my skin. I am very much a real person and the fact I am not treated as such is disrespectful. I am a woman of color, and*

that empowers me every single day. In case of mass shootings, this is a big issue. When that happens, they do their best to detain these people, most times they dont. When a man is running away and shot 20 times in the back, that is so disheartening. That hurts so much. That hurts so much because I have seen this happen. My uncles have been shot. My family has police officers, but that does not stop me. They are still being hurt. I do want people to acknowledge that. I don't need facts, my life is facts

- *Christian: I have not heard a lot of negotiating to reach a common ground or goal. The resolution is, just, I think it's too big of a step. There are things I believe we all agree on, such as mental health training. I think, might I humbly suggest, this is not the way to approach this. We put people further into their own trenches. I don't know what else to say about that, with this, we are just not willing to move forward together. Second thing. Yes we have campus police, and Davis police. In case of a mass shooting, pop pop pop, three of you are dead, five of you are injured, it might take Davis police 30 seconds to get here. That was less than 30 seconds. Firearms are for the case that things might happen. We can talk about whether that creates a negative culture but in the moment, considering I can't take out my own firearm to attack a maniac, I want the fastest response time possible.*
- *Malagon: For me, the issue with this resolution is it does not have any tangibility. I liked how you said for campus police to release the video. It's just disconnected reading that statement and this resolution now*
- *Ryan: I do want to talk about discourse. This resolution is all talk, nothing actual about this. Rina, I want to call out what you said, I was not speaking on a pro-police resolution. The arguments we heard, the table refused to pass that resolution. Two weeks later, ASUCD passed a different memorializations. This is to not recognize a police that fell. Landrum, that's the type of rhetoric that is despicable. The words used against her is despicable, part of the rhetoric is what [ECAC] passed. We need a conversation of rhetoric for reform from both sides of the table.*
- *Singh: It's interesting to hear this, I would like more clarification but...*
- *Mark: I get that discourse is important but I'm not here to go back and forth or speak my opinions. I'm here for facts. Everyone says it's all talk, but when people ask you to do things, you don't want to do them. Just what happened in Alabama, you don't want abortion, but you don't want birth control. You talk about Europe is not like America. These countries are not gun obsessed. They don't have a culture built on genocide. At least Australia understands it in their legislature. We don't recognize our own, but we recognize the others. No one can really do anything besides the 40 people who are rich enough to control the system. Some say the police might be abolished.. What's next? A safe community. A community of love and respect. Oh, no, no bombs, nor grenades, how will we get our kicks? Also terrorism is not too strong of a word. The political goal of the police is fear. It is to help ICE. Anyone other the President is at his service, they answer to their boss, and their boss is Trump, and so they answer to the Gestapo. They get paid for each one they arrest. Even aside from this whole conversation about the police and the danger they cause, this resolution is about UC police. There should not be guns on a UC campus in general. We should stop invoking the spirit of slave owners, but that of the spirit of Angela Davis. Why does everyone have to be armed? Why does this country have to be built on arms? I'm just confused why it is so hard to have empathy for people who do not feel safe. Having weapons and nearly killing someone, and response that they just stole Chapstick.*
- *Public: Can Senators film the public. Ricky just filmed us. I don't want to be the center of, I don't want to believe that my face is in your hands. I have seen people make fun of my friends. I do not feel comfortable that you have a picture of me that you could use at your disposal.*
- *Ricky: This is my constitutional right.*

- *Malagon: This is actually a public space, so they can record and we can record.*
- *Public: This is illegal under CSI. I don't want to take it up.*
- *Emily: I want to talk about protection. Who is the police protecting? They are protecting the 16 latinX students assaulted by a facilities manager. I will be speaker from my own experience. You all stayed real quiet. 16 students in Fall quarter were assaulted, and the police were called. They came way after the incident occurred, and no follow up. I was one of the students. Who are they protecting? Not me. Not the worker. I did not see anyone step up.*
- *Called into question*

Senate Bill 14		YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Senators	Alexis Ramirez		1	
	Anna Estrada	1		
	Shondreya Landrum	1		
	Sean Kumar		1	
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen	1		
	Victoria Choi	1		
	Rebecca Gonzalez		1	
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio		1	
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
	Karolina Rodriguez	1		

6 in favor, 6 not in favor, Vice President Deshpande in favor

Senate Bill 14 passes

- *Deshpande: I would love to work with you.*
- 10 minutes break

XVII. Consideration of Legislation

Roll Call				
	Name	Present	Absent	Late
Executives	President Justin Hurst	1		
	IVP Shreya Deshpande	1		
	Controller Kevin Rotenkolber			1
	Vice Controller Shambhavi Gupta		1	
Senators	Alexis Ramirez	1		

	Anna Estrada	1		
	Shondreya Landrum	1		
	Sean Kumar	1		
	Sahiba Kaur	1		
	Peiyuan Chen	1		
	Victoria Choi	1		
	Rebecca Gonzalez	1		
	Maya Barak		1	
	Andre Spinoglio	1		
	Ricky Zapardiel		1	
	Karolina Rodriguez	1		
Commissions	AAC- Naomi Reeley			
	BnF- Noam Marcus			
	EAC- Nayzak Wali-Ali			
	ECAC- Rina Singh			
	GASC- Daniel Tillman			
	EPPC- Alice Beittal			
	IAC- Henry Nibbelin			

SR #15

- *Deshpande: This is in support of the native american community, in response to what happened at the Shrem. There was appropriation of a lot of artifacts to ensure this does not happen again. UC Davis should form such communities to protect everyone.*
- *Public Discussion*
- *Will: REgardless of whether you are indigenous are not we are all part of the community. Good evening I great you all from the heart... I currently reside in Davis... I come here as a member of my community, I would like to inform you all that we did meet with Chancellor May to create an advisory committee. We are still working with the Chancellor's office. We are in a transition process of trying to create continuity. INow is a time for allyship and solidarity. Native Americans have been in the wastebasket of history. Thank you for following up on this resolution. I appreciate it as an indigenous person. The recognition of the political sovereignty of native folks. We are political entities. Not from tribe but from nation. A government to government relation.*
- *Singh: Thank you for being here, I think that when this resolution was in ECAC, I can't say how honored and privileged I am to be able to do something about this issue. The native population in our community has been constantly ignored. The fact that we as ASUCD, having a say in this is mindblowing to be, to have this opportunity. We are living on stolen land, and I dont think we do enough to recognize that. I thank he native communities to put trust in us to this issue.*

- *Hurst: The US is built off indigenous genocide. Because the native american community is so small, should not be the reason why their voices are small. They are constantly underrepresented. The bigger fact is that we show support to our native communities.*
- *Malagon: I just want to emphasize, thank you. It is an honor for us to help out.*

Passes

- *Deshpande: If there is anything me and Justin can do to help since we do meet with the Chancellor we will do so.*

SB #83

- *Helen: The bill is a spending bill to buy a new tool shed for the community garden. I just want to give more background. There was a bill that was passed last school year and what happens when you order a structure like that is facilities stops that order. They need to do a site analysis. They never got to that point. So this year we had facilities come out and they did not see any issues approving this new shed. The toolshed we currently have was built in the late 90s, and it's just made of plywood, so its not weather-resistant. It's currently being affected by fungus. That can be a safety hazard. It's also a significant financial liability, that is where we keep our tools, they get stolen. Another big thing is it has been an additional barrier for students to participate in the gardens. We also had a group from the Global Food Initiative that wanted to donate to the garden, but they didnt feel okay storing them at the garden. It's hard to be a functioning garden and not have those facilities. It would be great if we had these resources as a unit for our operations. This toolshed is a little larger than the other two spending bills due to the ATV that we received funding to have. It also will be weatherproof. The gardeners are willing to build a lot for it. We expect it could last 20-25 years, so think about as a long term investment.*
- *Spinoglio: It was seen by BMF before, and it was passed then as well. It came down to the fact the commission thought it would be a good long term investment for the success of the gardens.*
- **Public Discussion**
- *Estrada: What happened to the money from before?*
- *Helen: Senate allocates it to order. The facilities put a hold on the order, that they have to approve it. Nobody from the garden followed up on the facilities. So when the year ended, the money was returned. I met with someone from facilities and depending on where the structure was going, there are processes it must go through. Commitees need to analyze it and additional costs are added to that.*
- *Hurst: Last year the Senate bill allocated \$1,739. What do we get in this new shed?*
- *Helen: That shed from last year is much smaller. The shed we are asking for is larger than the other two. That money includes the buying of an ATV. This shed is larger and has a single person entrance door. So the other two, last year, and in fall, would only have a single person door. This shed is a secure spot for the ATV*
- *Kevin: What is the value of the ATV?*
- *Helen: \$2500, and we have a dump bucket for mulch and a wire to attach to large branches. Bucket was \$500*
- *Kevin: Just to let you know, we have \$140,000 in the capital reserves.*

Passed

XVIII: Public Discussion

- *Hurst: Tonight, the discourse was a lot better. There were some moments, but the Sentate table handled it well. There were some comments after the vote, I dont think its right to shame someone for voting.*

- *Kaur: On Monday 4-5, Dylan, who sits on Davis Police Accountability Board, in Boulder Room they will have a listening session. Will address issues like housing, discrimination, any student related issues.*
- *Estrada: What happened tonight has been brought up before and again. It's really unprofessional for Senators to just leave. You are getting paid to do your job. I don't know if it was about something that was said, but it's just disrespectful to everyone's time. The fact people just choose to leave without saying why is childish. So maybe this is something we can work on in legislation, I just don't think it's fair.*
- *Rodriguez: That's some bullshit. I'm tired. The fact they get up is not cool. The fact Ricky was recording people, he needs to be held accountable and that is disgusting. The public did not feel safe.*
- *Singh: We have seen it in the past where people's pictures and videos are taken can end up on websites and black lists. That is when you get death threats, and harassed on campus. I think he needs to be held accountable, at least by the Table.*
- *Estrada: Adding on to that, I was looking over bylaws, we aren't even supposed to have laptops or phones out. Underneath the bylaws he sworn an oath. He was not even supposed to have it out. That video should not exist*
- *Deshpande: I noticed Ricky's behavior has been poor. How do people feel about a closed session?*
- *Kevin: One of the options I did see in the bylaws was "censuring" a member of the association. When the body collectively decide to condemn the actions or repeated behavior of an individual. Towards the very end, 1501.*
- *Deshpande: I think it's inexcusable for him to leave. It is unprofessional for him to just leave like that. In terms of filming, this is the safety of a lot of students. I will take this up to CSI and make sure it is deleted. We don't know what he can do with it.*
- *Hurst: What would be involved in a "censure"*
- *Nibbelin: Yeah, it's all right here. There needs a petition. Let me do a little more reading.*
- *Malagon: I think if we go in that direction we should censure Rina. she never advocated for the Jewish community. She hold biased towards the Jewish community and people are uncomfortable with you on the table. I saw Facebook messages from a group chat that comments are being said and not being addressed.*
- *Singh: That's interesting, because when I try to address the issue, anti-semitism is real. Back in fall, ECAC held a space for the Jewish community, and Michael Gofman said the space was not for Jewish students because no one on the commission was Jewish. That was my second quarter as chair. That rhetoric makes it difficult to build connections with the community. We have newspapers writing the ECAC. It's interesting you want to censure me despite never talking to me in private. It was interesting to see your comment on the resolution today, besides the fact you have pictures standing by the Blue Lives Matters flag.*
- *Rina you were just confronted with something. Own up to your words. Own it. The Jews on the campus is too prioritized, yet if any other community said that it would be a big deal*
- *Singh: I have never made such comments on my time as chair. I remember having a lengthy discussion where the resolution about anti-semitism would not include that of other communities. When that was brought up, that was seen as advocating for a clause of me being anti-semitism. To me it doesn't make sense. I'm advocating for numerous communities. I think there is a real issue about how you define what. There are a lot of groups on campus who are equally in danger. This is not to take away the reality of anti-semitism. I had a lengthy presentation about what people were painting me as. I had everything out there.*
- *Rodriguez: I think it's interesting how you say ECAC is not advocating for all communities. Why have you not brought it up to her or ECAC? I understand you feel the Jewish community*

it not being advocated for but if you have concerns, don't bring it up on the table. Go to an ECAC meeting. Its cowardly of you to hide behind the table

- *Malagon: I did bring it up. Last Senate it was swept under the rug. I want to have this discourse. I'm holding you accountable the same way you said about my picture. Don't take it as an attack, its holding people accountable. It has become so toxic that even bringing this up is problematic. I want us all to hold each other accountable. I was attacked online. I wrote a statement, I went there, and I joined the picket line. It is that accountability I am looking for. It's just frustrations coming to me from Jewish students.*
- *Hurst: Anti-semitism is a huge problem, and any of those comments need to be condemned. We should have this discussion as a table. If Edgar, or anyone has suggestions on how to bridge that gap, that would be great*
- *Deshpande: I brought up last week, this Table has been really performative. It bothers how we can talk outside of this space. Edgar, I appreciate you reaching out but they can happen in a better way. If there is anything I can do to make this space less toxic, let me know. Please let me know, I take the onus of what happens on this table. Folks are nonchalantly throwing accusations at people, I would appreciate feedback*
- *Singh: That fact you say I made students uncomfortable, I wasn't in the room. I didn't know until the article was sent to me. So when you say I made a student uncomfortable. What did I say? Other thing is, we do talk about solutions. When I give my Quarterly reports, I have mentioned several times that hate crimes are on a rise, specifically against the Jewish community. I have reached out to members of the Jewish community, but those meetings and discussions never came together. ECAC has been painted as anti-semitic which I have opinions on. There is evidence that shows we should not be called anti-semitic. I apologize so many times, but if me opening up is not enough, then I don't know what to do.*
- *Rodriguez: I'm not going to applaud you for joining the picket line. I'm willing to have this conversation.*
- *Singh: Another thing I wanted to say, accountability. Yes it should not be perceived as an attack. If I make a mistake, I will be the first person to apologize for it. For me to come out and say "im sorry" and genuinely mean it, yes I can do that. But there are people actively trying to paint me in a certain light. I don't know what I need to do different.*
- *Malagon: Do you know why people don't feel comfortable going to you?*
- *Singh: My first effort to reach out to the Jewish community back in fall was already put in a bad light after Michael. This is not the case. Jewish members of the community were reached out to. More than half the senate table was Jewish at the time. When you paint that and create this publicity upon a person, trust me, it is hard to build that trust again.*
- *Malagon: In those Facebook messages, could that be a reason why people are distrusting?*
- *Singh: It is my duty to bring it up*
- *Nibbelin: Conversations like these are indicative of larger issues that are present here on the table. We should be careful in how we approach these situations. Right now it seems like a back-and-forth. Let's talk about constructive things we can do. Let's save that for outside the room.*

- *Hurst: Budgets. I thank Kevin for working with me. Bunch of units submitted late budgets. I want to circle back to the emergency legislation. We should not see it as a backdoor. If our referendum does not pass, any additional amount we pull will only hurt ASUCD. We don't want to cut student jobs and I thank Kevin for finding solutions.*
- *Deshpande: Are we just having units to pick whenever they want to go?*
- *Hurst: Thats to their discretion*
- *Estrada: Can you confirm the times?*
- *Deshpande: Friday 3-10 in here. Sat 8-5 in here. Sun 8-5 in here.*

- *Estrada: so we are not following what Greg sent us?*
- *Hurst: Our discussion with the unit directors in at 3. I just want to add the deadline is only if we take the full time.*
- *Kumar: I heard it was possible to push hearings to Monday*
- *Deshpande: That's only in extreme cases.*
- *Kumar: It's just we have classes.*
- *Greg: I'm happy to give crash courses. We can approve income then jump back to that later on. When we get to the third day, that is when things drag out. We are here together. It's a lot of fun. This should be exciting because you are appropriating funds to things you enjoy on campus. We are a group not as individuals. We will make sure we get through it. Last year was an extreme case.*
- *Krueger: I don't think I received the budget hearing section*
- *Spinoglio: we are setting that up*
- *Deshpande: There's folks that signed up from 8 to 2. We should see if they are available.*

- *Hurst: We have to move out of this floor by next week. There's a lot that needs to be done.*
- *Greg: 23rd we should be out. Don't worry about moving computers or boxes. Everyone can bring two boxes per unit. 116 A St. It's not as big here, but it's only 6 months. The other stuff will go into storage units. Don't worry about office supplies, printers and copiers. We have recycle bins and trash bins. Hopefully we will be back here in January. Paychecks will be available at Unitrans if you need a physical paycheck. We have a meeting space there and we will get creative.*
- *Spinoglio: In the previous year, we did not have access to the actuals. Will we have access to them in the budget hearings*
- *Kevin: Yes. Just trying to get more up to date ones. Currently the ones I have are sitting in a Google Drive folder which I can share.*

- *Chen: Please reach out to any organizations you know. I'm trying to get something done with TAPS. The director of TAPS will be retiring in a month. Me and Shreya had an appointment with him asking to show the data they converted an A permit to a C permit. He answered really vaguely. If anyone does not agree, please reach out to organizations*

- *Spinoglio: I think it's best that we try to avoid cussing. Its been occuring much more frequently. Just out of respect, we should avoid using that sort of language. It might make the environment better. I also agree with Shreya that our interactions are performative. I think all of us can do a better job at prioritizing long-term consequences than immediate gratification.*
- *Estrada: Is there an update on the Town Hall?*
- *Deshpande: Next Wednesday 7-9 Multipurpose room. If not it will be in the Co-Ho*
- *Choi: Just now, no one here is the paragon of moral height, perfection. When we air our grievances, remember we are all people. It's not about clapping back, it's not productive. No one is going to leave that exchange happier. There's a lot of accusations. It's not conducive to anything being done for anyone. This applies to me too. None of us are better than worse or anyone else.*
- *Greg: I am also a part of that. I'm a firm believer in reflection. I did not feel good for last meeting. I hold myself to a higher standard with my tone and communication. I'm hoping we all continue to have our days, good, bad, indifferent in between. I'm encouraged we have a strong culture within ASUCD, and if we can get those foundational values, it can be good. I hope we can get that done. I love working with you all, and believe in all of you. Having dialogue and discourse on difficult topics, I recognize how I can improve myself. I hope I can*

be a resource moving forward as well. I appreciate every one of you here. This is not easy, but I look forward to you all.

- *Estrada: I appreciate you for recognizing the way things went last week. But I feel like I see more from Xperimental College, maybe if we can reach out to that email. The student had a really valid point and they should hear that apology. If we really want to change the face of ASUCD, it starts with ourselves. Just keep that in mind and we can address it later.*
- *Hurst: Two things. One, I think we should open up a topic and draft a statement as a Table. Two. I am personally really appreciative of people holding themselves accountable and incentivizing people to do so.*

•

XIX: Public Announcement

- *Singh: Three things. Next week ECAC has an event wednesday in the quad. It will be fune, second year we will be presenting this event. The facebook event is up. Second. ECAC commissioner interviews are occuring. Third. ECAC Chair applications are open since Monday, they will be done by Friday.*
- *Kaur: We invited the superintendent, 6-7, please come out.*
- *Estrada: The Youth Empowerment program is in the SCC. We help the Yolo County Detention Facility. Spoken word and other demonstrations. Find common grounds with someone there.*
- *Deshpande: Lobby day is coming up*
- *Malagon: May 28th we will take students to lobby at Sacramento.*
- *Hurst: ASUCD events tomorrow. For anyone 6pm tomorrow is the Last Lecture series.*
- *Deshpande: Push the officer reports to next week*

Adjourned 12:02AM